# DOING BUSINESS IN A CONNECTED SOCIETY: THE GSK BRIBERY SCANDAL IN CHINA<sup>†</sup>

Cindy A. Schipani\* Junhai Liu\*\* Haiyan Xu\*\*\*

Personal connections have always mattered greatly both in societies and businesses throughout the world. What a connection is and how that connection is used, however, is subject to interpretation as well as abuse. This Article examines Chinese reforms to combat "connection-based bribery" in multinational corporations through a series of recent scandals involving the global healthcare giant, GlaxoSmithKline LLC ("GSK"). In 2012, GSK plead guilty and paid the largest combined federal and state healthcare fraud recovery in a single case in the history of the United Sates to resolve criminal and civil liabilities related to bribery. Only a year later GSK was involved in another large-scale bribery scandal in China that resulted in the largest corporate fine ever imposed in the country as well as multiple convictions of GSK managers. The GSK China scandal created a ripple effect that led to bribery investigations of GSK operations in the Middle East and Europe, a joint investigation of the Chinese operations of French pharmaceutical company Sanofi, and possible charges from the U.S. Department of Justice and Britain's Serious Fraud Office.

In light of these scandals, this Article analyzes the complicated harms triggered by the alleged corrupt practices of GSK and other multinational corporations in the context of Chinese culture in order

<sup>†</sup> Copyright 2015. Cindy A. Schipani, Junhai Liu, Haiyan Xu. All rights reserved. The authors wish to thank the participants of the Big 10 and Friends Seminar (Angie Raymond, Gwendolyn Gordon, Todd Haugh, David Zaring, Dan Cahoy, Jamie Prenkert, Leigh Anenson, and David Hess) held at the University of Michigan for helpful comments and to thank Alina Charniauskaya, Zachary Fichtenbaum, and Jacob Styburski, J.D. Candidates, University of Michigan Law School for valuable research assistance.

<sup>\*</sup> Merwin H. Waterman Collegiate Professor of Business Administration and Professor of Business Law, Ross School of Business, University of Michigan.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Professor and Director, Business Law Center, Law School, Renmin University of China.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> Professor, School of Law, University of International Business and Economics, Beijing, China.

to identify the causes of these scandals and accompanying suggestions for reform. Finding that internal processes, such as GSK's evaluation and incentive systems, significantly facilitated the alleged corrupt practices, the Article concludes that multinational corporations should play the leading role in changing traditional corporate governance by reorienting corporate purpose, gaining a deeper understanding of the host country culture, and adopting and employing serious corporate governance policies against corruption.

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION		64
II.	Un	DERSTANDING CHINESE CULTURE AND SOCIETY	69
III.	HARMS TRIGGERED BY CORRUPTION		71
	A.	Damage to Fair and Transparent Competition	71
	B.	Harm to Consumer Welfare	
	<i>C</i> .	Harm to the Commercial Culture	73
IV.	THE INTERNATIONAL ANTICORRUPTION FRAMEWORK		74
	A.	China's Anticorruption Laws	75
	B.	The Foreign Corrupt Practices Act of 1977 ("FCPA")	
	<i>C</i> .	Comparing FCPA Sanctions to Chinese Sanctions	
V.	WHY THE GSK BRIBERY WAS POSSIBLE IN CHINA		
	A.	Misinterpretation of Contemporary Chinese Culture	83
	B.	Optimization of Profits	
	<i>C</i> .	Strengthening Corporate Governance to Address	
		Corruption	88
VI.	THE NEED FOR REFORM OF THE EVALUATION AND		
	INCENTIVE SYSTEM FOR PROFESSIONAL SALES		
	REPRESENTATIVES		91
	A.	Fundamental Change in Compensation: From Unitary	
		Sales Target Orientation to Diversified Evaluation	
		Indicators	92
	B.	Shortcomings of the New Compensation System	93
VII.	. SUGGESTED CORPORATE GOVERNANCE REFORMS		95
	A.	Reorientation of Corporate Purpose to Optimization of	
		Profits	95
	В.	The Need for Understanding Chinese Culture	97
	<i>C</i> .	Corporate Governance Practices Must Address Corruption	
VII	L Co	ONCLUSION	

# I. INTRODUCTION

Almost every society could be considered a society based on connections. As Lawrence M. Friedman said, "in this society, as in every society, who you know and what your connections are, whether you are

rich or poor, educated or uneducated, articulate or inarticulate, has always mattered greatly—one way or the other." The logic is very simple. No one will feel safe and secure when entering into a significant transaction with a stranger. The same notion of a "connection," however, might mean more in the context of the Chinese society than it does in the United States. In China, connections, or *guanxi*, are important, not only in a business context, but also at the personal level. Compared to U.S. "connections," which tend to rely primarily on a *quid pro quo* dynamic, *guanxi* are typically understood as being primarily socio-emotional relations. Intimately related to family, kinship, ethnic, and other personalistic relations," *guanxi* thus constitute more meaningful, as well as powerful connections, connections who are frequently relied upon in both business and personal contexts.

A connection *per se* is neither the angel nor the devil. To the contrary, the term is neutral. A connection could be used for different, or even opposite, purposes. In some circumstances, a connection could be an angel, as healthy, moral, and lawful connections will benefit both businesses and their stakeholders in the local market.<sup>5</sup> In other circumstances, connections are abused to advance the interests of firms and their corrupted partners at the price of defrauding consumers, bullying small competitors, and polluting the commercial culture.<sup>6</sup>

More importantly, connection-based bribery has been a special target in contemporary Chinese society. Anticorruption efforts and reforms toward a transparent and competitive market are key elements of the contemporary Chinese culture. A misinterpretation of contemporary

- 1. LAWRENCE M. FRIEDMAN, LAW IN AMERICA: A SHORT HISTORY 32 (2002).
- 2. Bingyuan Hsiung, Guanxi: Personal Connections in Chinese Society, 15 J. BIOECON. 17, 17 (2011).
- 3. Roy Y.J. Chua et al., Guanxi vs Networking: Distinctive Configurations of Affect- and Cognition-Based Trust in the Networks of Chinese vs American Managers, 40 J. INT'L BUS. STUD. 490, 490–91 (2009).
- 4. Hsiung, *supra* note 2, at 18 ("One important feature of *guanxi* is that it is closely related to family. A popular Chinese idiom captures its spirit vividly: 'Count on your parents while at home, and count on your friends while away from home.'"). This heightened conception of connections has even been linked to the family/clan-based origins of Chinese thinking on the makeup and proper function of corporations. Teemu Ruskola, *Conceptualizing Corporations and Kinship: Comparative Law and Development Theory in a Chinese Perspective*, 52 STAN. L. REV. 1599, 1616–17 (2000) (citing HILL GATES, CHINA'S MOTOR: A THOUSAND YEARS OF PETTY CAPITALISM 84–120 (1996) (describing commercially-oriented Chinese clans, which she calls "patricorporations")).
- 5. See generally HUBERT OESTERLE, ELGAR FLEISCH & RAINER ALT, BUSINESS NETWORKING: SHAPING COLLABORATION BETWEEN ENTERPRISES (2001) (explaining the importance of business connections in the new economy and key factors to success).
- 6. See Susan Rose-Ackerman, Corruption and the Global Economy 43–44 (1997); Jessica Silver-Greenberg & Ben Protess, JPMorgan Hiring Put China's Elite on an Easy Track, N.Y. Times (Aug. 29, 2013, 10:00 PM), http://dealbook.nytimes.com/2013/08/29/jpmorgan-hiring-put-chinaselite-on-an-easy-track/?\_r=0; see generally Cindy A. Schipani, Falling Off the Corporate Ladder: Prosecution for Financial Fraud in the United States, 32 COMPANY LAWYER 336 (2011).
- 7. Dingding Chen, 4 Misconceptions About China's Anti-Corruption Campaign, THE DIPLOMAT (Aug. 6, 2014), http://thediplomat.com/2014/08/4-misconceptions-about-chinas-anti-corruption-campaign/.
  - 8. *Id*.

Chinese culture may have created, and may continue to create, more bribery scandals on the part of multinational corporations ("MNCs").9

To analyze this point, this Article focuses on the scandal plaguing global healthcare giant, GlaxoSmithKline LLC ("GSK"). On July 2, 2012, GSK agreed to plead guilty to illegally promoting a number of its prescription medications, inadequately reporting safety data, and false price reporting practices in the United States. As a result, GSK had to pay \$3 billion in fines: \$1 billion in criminal penalties and another \$2 billion in civil settlements. GSK allegedly marketed drugs, Paxil and Wellbutrin, for misbranded use in federal commerce. The company also allegedly did not report required safety data to the Food and Drug Administration ("FDA") about its controversial diabetes drug, Avandia. Furthermore, GSK was accused of paying for the publication of articles about its drugs in medical journals. The fine was the largest combined federal and state healthcare fraud recovery in a single case in the history of the United States.

Before GSK had the chance to rebuild its image, the company was embroiled in another bribery scandal in China. In June 2013, a criminal investigation of GSK began in China. GSK allegedly bribed government officials, medical associations, hospitals, and individual doctors to open more distribution channels and raise product prices.<sup>15</sup> The Chinese police claimed GSK funneled up to three billion yuan (\$490 million) to travel agencies in order to facilitate bribes to doctors and other officials.<sup>16</sup> More than 700 middlemen and travel agencies were alleged to have helped channel nearly \$500 million in kickbacks to those who prescribed pills from the U.K. pharmaceutical since 2007.<sup>17</sup> The Ministry of Public Security detained four upper-level executives of GSK China, and at least eighteen other employees were arrested.<sup>18</sup> The GSK scandal quickly became one of the "top 10 white-collar crimes" in 2013.<sup>19</sup>

<sup>9.</sup> *Id* 

<sup>10.</sup> Press Release, U.S. Dep't of Justice, GlaxoSmithKline to Plead Guilty and Pay \$3 Billion to Resolve Fraud Allegations and Failure to Report Safety Data (July 2, 2012), http://www.justice.gov/opa/pr/2012/July/12-civ-842.html.

<sup>11.</sup> *Id*.

<sup>12.</sup> *Id*.

<sup>13.</sup> Simon Neville, *GlaxoSmithKline Fined \$3bn After Bribing Doctors to Increase Drugs Sales*, GUARDIAN (July 3, 2012, 12:19 PM), http://www.theguardian.com/business/2012/jul/03/glaxosmithkline-fined-bribing-doctors-pharmaceuticals.

<sup>14.</sup> Press Release, U.S. Dep't of Justice, supra note 10.

<sup>15.</sup> Top 10 White-Collar Crimes in 2013, CHINA DAILY, http://www.chinadaily.com.cn/business/2014-02/17/content\_17287131.htm (last updated Feb. 17, 2014, 2:43 PM).

<sup>16.</sup> Ben Hirschler, *Bribery Scandal Slashes GlaxoSmithKline's Chinese Drug Sales*, REUTERS (Oct. 23, 2013), http://www.reuters.com/article/2013/10/23/us-gsk-earnings-idUSBRE99M0DB20131 023

<sup>17.</sup> Wendy Wysong, *The Asia-Pacific Top 10 FCPA Enforcement Actions of 2013: A Statistical Anomaly*, CONSELIUM (Jan. 15, 2014), http://corporatecomplianceinsights.com/the-asia-pacific-top-ten-fcpa-enforcement-actions-of-2013-a-statistical-anomaly/.

<sup>18.</sup> Emma Dai & Yao Jing, *Overseas Firms Making Sure They Keep Their Hands Clean*, CHINA DAILY (Mar. 13, 2014, 8:27 AM), http://www.chinadaily.com.cn/business/2014-03/13/content\_173435 19.htm.

<sup>19.</sup> Top 10 White-Collar Crimes in 2013, supra note 15.

67

On September 19, 2014, the Changsha Intermediate People's Court found the company guilty of bribing nongovernmental personnel and imposed a fine on GSK of nearly \$500 million, the amount of the company's bribes, 20 and the largest corporate fine ever imposed in China. 11 The court also sentenced GSK's former country manager for Britain, Mark Reilly, along with four other company managers, to prison sentences that could have lasted up to four years (the court has agreed to suspend the sentences so long as those convicted commit no further offenses in China). 12 In a statement released just hours after the verdict, GSK stated that it "fully accepts the facts and evidence of the investigation, and the verdict of the Chinese judicial authorities . . . GSK P.L.C. sincerely apologizes to the Chinese patients, doctors and hospitals, and to the Chinese government and the Chinese people." 23

Although GSK has very specific internal rules against commercial bribery, these rules appear to have been easily circumvented through the use of third parties, such as travel agencies.<sup>24</sup> The major function of the travel agency was to invent corporate meetings that would have required staff travel.<sup>25</sup> The budget for these fictitious meetings would then be used to bribe doctors to prescribe certain drugs.<sup>26</sup> The doctors involved were issued a credit card from the company and the kickbacks they received were transferred to the cards the day after drugs were prescribed.<sup>27</sup> It has been further alleged that GSK offered bribes to doctors not only in China, but also to doctors in other countries, including Iraq, Lebanon, Jordan, Syria, and Poland.<sup>28</sup> According to a report from April 15, 2014, GSK was fully cooperating with the Polish government's investigation after the British media accused it of paying bribes to Polish doctors.<sup>29</sup> Between

<sup>20.</sup> Keith Bradsher & Chris Buckley, *China Fines GlaxoSmithKline Nearly \$500 Million in Bribery Case*, N.Y. TIMES (Sept. 19, 2014), http://www.nytimes.com/2014/09/20/business/international/gsk-china-fines.html.

<sup>21.</sup> Hester Plumridge & Laurie Burkitt, *GlaxoSmithKline Found Guilty of Bribery in China*, WALL ST. J., http://online.wsj.com/articles/glaxosmithkline-found-guilty-of-bribery-in-china-14111148 17 (last updated Sept. 19, 2014, 2:15 PM).

<sup>22.</sup> Bradsher & Buckley, supra note 20.

<sup>23.</sup> *Id* 

<sup>24.</sup> Cindy A. Schipani, Junhai Liu, & Haiyan Xu, Abstract, *GSK Bribery Scandal in China*, CRACKING THE U.S. MARKET: OPPORTUNITIES AND THREATS FOR CHINESE MULTINATIONALS (Cracking the U.S Market Conference, CBSI, University of San Francisco), Feb. 27, 2014, at 15, *available at* http://web.usfca.edu/uploadedFiles/Destinations/management/centers/China\_Business\_Studies\_Initiative/CBSI%20Conference%20Abstracts.pdf.

<sup>25.</sup> Malcolm Moore, *GlaxoSmithKline Accused of 'Criminal Godfather' Behavior in China*, THE TELEGRAPH (July 15, 2013, 1:05 PM), http://www.telegraph.co.uk/finance/newsbysector/pharmaceuticalsandchemicals/10179241/GlaxoSmithKline-accused-of-criminal-godfather-behaviour-in-China.html.

<sup>26.</sup> *Id*.

<sup>27.</sup> Id.

<sup>28.</sup> GSK Admits Under Bribery Investigation in Poland, XINHUA (Apr. 15, 2014, 6:35 AM), http://news.xinhuanet.com/english/world/2014-04/15/c\_133261867.htm; Ben Hirschler, Exclusive: Glax-oSmithKline Faces Fresh Drug Bribery Claims in Syria, REUTERS (Aug. 11, 2014, 1:53 PM), http://www.reuters.com/article/2014/08/11/us-gsk-corruption-syria-idUSKBN0GB1PR20140811; Ben Hirschler, UPDATE 4-UK Fraud Office Probes GSK After Claims of Foreign Bribery, REUTERS (May 28, 2014, 9:42 AM), http://www.reuters.com/article/2014/05/28/gsk-sfo-idUSL6N0OD5E020140528.

<sup>29.</sup> GSK Admits Under Bribery Investigation in Poland, supra note 28.

2010 and 2012, under the facade of "educational services," GSK representatives allegedly paid Polish doctors to promote GSK's asthma drug, Seretide.30 The local prosecutor has charged eleven doctors and a GSK regional manager over the alleged corruption. "The public prosecutor of the Polish region of Lodz found evidence in documents given to doctors by GSK to support claims of corrupt payments in more than a dozen different health centers where there was no evidence that 'patient education' had taken place."31 In addition, GSK was investigating bribery allegations in Iraq, where company representatives were accused of unlawfully paying government doctors and pharmacists to increase sales of its products.<sup>32</sup> In April 2014, GSK announced that it was investigating similar bribery allegations in Jordan and Lebanon.<sup>33</sup>

In addition, the U.S. Department of Justice ("DOJ") has started its own investigation. The United States is investigating whether GSK violated US antibribery laws, specifically the U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act ("FCPA"), in China.34 Additionally, Britain's Serious Fraud Office ("SFO") is taking steps to prosecute or fine companies that commit bribery overseas, which would likely implicate GSK.35 No charges by either the DOJ or SFO have yet been announced. Furthermore, the GSK bribery scandal is not a singular event in China. Just one month after the investigation of GSK began, Beijing municipal authorities set up a joint team to investigate the French pharmaceutical company Sanofi after a Chinese newspaper published bribery allegations against that company.<sup>36</sup>

Although GSK is not the only multinational pharmaceutical company to be investigated for its business in China, it has suffered the most damage to date as many Chinese doctors have shunned its drugs and sales representatives.37 While rivals of GSK (such as Roche and Novartis) have experienced continued growth, GSK's drug sales slumped sixty-one percent in the third quarter in China following the announcement of the investigation.<sup>38</sup> This dip in sales is greater than investors were predicting<sup>39</sup> and was caused in part by the considerable uncertainty in China concerning the extent of GSK's continued drug sales, which has led hospitals to

<sup>30.</sup> Id.

<sup>31.</sup> Id.

Scott Campbell, Glaxo China Bribery Allegations-Timeline, THE TELEGRAPH (May 14, 2014, 7:00 AM), http://www.telegraph.co.uk/finance/newsbysector/pharmaceuticalsandchemicals/10828571/ Glaxo-China-bribery-allegations-timeline.html.

<sup>34.</sup> US Prosecutors Add China Bribery Allegations to GSK Probe, REUTERS (Sept. 6, 2013, 5:50 PM), http://www.cnbc.com/id/101015570.

<sup>35.</sup> Carly Helfand, British Penalties for China Bribery May Be on the Way for GSK, FIERCE PHARMA (Feb. 21, 2014), http://www.fiercepharma.com/story/british-penalties-china-bribery-may-beway-gsk/2014-02-21.

<sup>36.</sup> Pharmaceutical Giants Rethink Rebate Marketing, XINHUA, http://www.chinadaily.com.cn/ business/2014-04/12/content\_17429361.htm (last updated Apr. 12, 2014, 2:43 PM).

<sup>37.</sup> Hirschler, supra note 16.

<sup>38</sup> Id

<sup>39.</sup> Id.

turn to more reliable alternatives.<sup>40</sup> Additionally, consumer discontent has likely played a strong role in decreasing GSK's China sales.<sup>41</sup> There is a common perception in China that foreign medicines are overpriced due to the costs of corruption associated with their marketing.<sup>42</sup> Given the publicity surrounding the GSK scandal, it appears that Chinese patients have put pressure on Chinese doctors to avoid prescribing GSK products when possible.<sup>43</sup> The sales hit the hardest were those of drugs with easily accessible substitutes.<sup>44</sup>

In light of these scandals, we seek to analyze the complicated harms triggered by the alleged corrupt practices of GSK and other MNCs in connected societies in order to identify the causes of these scandals and to provide suggestions for reform. Part II provides an overview of Chinese culture followed by identification of some of the harms that may be triggered by the corrupt practices of businesses discussed in Part III. Part IV examines the international anticorruption framework while Part V considers why the alleged GSK bribery scandal was possible in China. We then analyze the need for improvement of the evaluation and incentive system for professional sales representatives in Part VI, leading to suggestions for reform in Part VII. Concluding remarks then follow.

#### II. UNDERSTANDING CHINESE CULTURE AND SOCIETY

It is difficult to have a deep understanding of China. Historically speaking, China has been a connection-based, agricultural society, with a brief exposure to rule of law (in the modern sense) in comparison with its 5,000 years of civilization.<sup>45</sup> Yet, a close examination of Chinese culture reveals that commercial corruption is neither encouraged nor tolerated.<sup>46</sup>

First, Chinese culture, especially the mainstream philosophy, is against any form of corruption.<sup>47</sup> As President Xi Jinping outlined in his speech at the College of Europe on April 1, 2014, China has a civilization of over 5,000 years.<sup>48</sup> Over 2,000 years ago, China experienced a time of

<sup>40.</sup> Yan Chen, A Brand that Needs a Makeover: GlaxoSmithKline China, SCIENCE, MEET LAW (Feb. 13, 2014, 11:13 AM), http://www.sciencemeetlaw.com/2014\_02\_01\_archive.html.

<sup>41.</sup> *Id*.

<sup>42.</sup> Id.

<sup>43.</sup> *Id*.

<sup>44.</sup> Hirschler, supra note 16.

<sup>45.</sup> See generally RANDALL PEERENBOOM, CHINA'S LONG MARCH TOWARD RULE OF LAW (2002) (explaining China's development into a law-based society).

<sup>46.</sup> See Jinxuan Zhang, Moutai, the FCPA and Doing Business with China, 2012 WL 3069984 (WJCODL) (noting the current trend in China toward both increased regulation and prosecution of commercial corruption, including bribery); H.E. Xi Jinping, Pres. of P.R.C., Speech at the College of Europe, Bruges (Apr. 1, 2014), http://www.chinamission.be/eng/jd/t1143591.htm. Many of China's problems with corruption are relatively recent phenomena, e.g., the abuses caused by the initial grant of too much power to the executives of state-owned corporations prior to the 1999 reforms. Cindy A. Schipani & Junhai Liu, Corporate Governance in China: Then and Now, 2002 COLUM. BUS. L. REV. 1, 17–18 (2002).

<sup>47.</sup> Jinping, supra note 46.

<sup>48.</sup> *Id*.

philosophical enlightenment,<sup>49</sup> where some of its greatest thinkers developed complex ideas about the relationships between nature and society, society and the individual, as well as between individuals.<sup>50</sup> Many of these philosophies specifically emphasize fidelity to other members of one's community, as well as society as a whole. These thinkers stressed the values of "propriety, justice, integrity and honor" in the Chinese society.<sup>51</sup> President Xi Jinping argued that these values endured the test of time and are foundational in the way that the Chinese look at the world today.<sup>52</sup> Chinese culture pays close attention to the integrity and morality of people, and commercial corruption plainly conflicts with these basic tenets.

Second, the Chinese market economy operates based on the rule of law,53 and could be called a legal economy or an economy ruled by law.54 China introduced the state policy of the rule of law into the Chinese Constitution as late as 1999 and created legal rules for combatting corrupt practices.55 For example, both the Anti-Unfair Competition Law and the Criminal Law, discussed below, prohibit commercial bribery and other corrupt practices.<sup>56</sup> According to the white paper on the Socialist System of Laws with Chinese Characteristics, published by the Information Office of the State Council, by the end of August 2011, the Chinese legislature had enacted 240 laws including the current Constitution, 706 administrative regulations, and over 8,600 local regulations.<sup>57</sup> As a result, all legal branches have been instituted, basic and major laws of each branch have been established, and related administrative regulations and local regulations have been adopted. China has a strong socialist legal system with uniquely Chinese features. 58 There is no legislation that legalizes corrupt practices.

<sup>49.</sup> *Id*.

<sup>50.</sup> *Id*.

<sup>51.</sup> *Id*.

<sup>52.</sup> *Id* 

<sup>53.</sup> Chul-kyu Kang, Market Economy and Corporate Governance-Fairness and Transparency for Sustainable Growth, 6th Global Forum on Reinventing Government (2005), http://unpan1.un.org/intradoc/groups/public/documents/un/unpan020075.pdf.

<sup>54.</sup> Id

<sup>55.</sup> Chen Jianfu, *The Revision of the Constitution in the PRC: A Great Leap Forward or a Symbolic Gesture?*, CHINA PERSPECTIVES, http://chinaperspectives.revues.org/2922 (last updated Dec. 29, 2008).

<sup>56.</sup> See Zhonghua Renmin Gongheguo Xing fa (97 xiu ding) (中华人民共和国刑法(97 修订)) [Criminal Law of the P.R.C. (1997 Revision)] (promulgated by Standing Nat'l Comm. People's Cong., effective Oct. 1, 1997) (amended Mar. 14, 1997) (China), available at http://www.fmprc.gov.cn/ce/cgvienna/eng/dbtyw/jdwt/crimelaw/t209043.htm [hereinafter Criminal Law of the P.R.C.]; Zhonghua Renmin Gongheguo Fan bu zheng dang jing zheng fa (中华人民共和国反不正当竞争法) [Law of P.R.C. Against Unfair Competition] (promulgated by the Standing Nat'l Comm. People's Cong., Sept. 2, 1993, effective Dec. 1, 1993) (China), available at http://www.wipo.int/wipolex/en/text.jsp?file\_id=1 25970 [hereinafter, Law of P.R.C. Against Unfair Competition].

<sup>57.</sup> Deng Shasha (ed.), *The Socialist System of Laws with Chinese Characteristics*, XINHUA (Oct. 27, 2011, 3:03 PM), http://news.xinhuanet.com/english2010/china/2011-10/27/c\_131215899\_6.htm.

<sup>58.</sup> *Id*.

Third, corrupt practices are contrary to ongoing reforms in China.<sup>59</sup> Although there are unalienable links between the past, the present, and the future, China has undergone and continues to undergo a great number of unprecedented reforms since the late 1970s, especially from November 2012, when new Chinese leaders came into power. As President Xi Jinping said in the abovementioned speech at the College of Europe:

China is a country undergoing profound changes. Reform, which was first forced upon us by problems, goes deeper in addressing the problems. We know keenly that reform and opening-up is an ongoing process that will never stop. China's reform has entered a deepwater zone, where problems crying to be resolved are all difficult ones. What we need is the courage to move the reform forward.<sup>60</sup>

In addition to ambitious and comprehensive reform policies concerning the market economy and the rule of law declared by the Chinese Communist Party, China has been vigorously fighting corruption. For instance, in 2013, the Chinese courts heard 29,000 corruption cases, including bribery, embezzlement, and breach of duty, in which they convicted 31,000 individuals. Among those convicted were the former party chief of Chongqing Municipality, Bo Xilai, and the former minister of railways, Liu Zhijun.<sup>61</sup>

#### III. HARMS TRIGGERED BY CORRUPTION

This Part turns to an examination of the various harms, which may be triggered by corrupt practices of firms. These include damage to fair and transparent competition, harm to consumer welfare, and harm to commercial culture.

# A. Damage to Fair and Transparent Competition

Although it is difficult to quantify the exact value of the competitive advantage resulting from bribery, it has been estimated that GSK derived substantial benefits from its bribes in the Chinese market of more than \$150 million, including revenue from higher drug prices. <sup>62</sup> Because GSK is in direct competition with domestic Chinese firms, as well as other MNCs, the gains of GSK also reflect the losses sustained by competitors who were unwilling to deviate from free-market competition.

Moreover, in addition to putting GSK's competitors at an unfair competitive disadvantage, GSK's bribes (and those of other firms)<sup>63</sup> have undermined the Chinese government's efforts to promote a fair and

<sup>59.</sup> Comprehensively Deepening Reform (Quan mian shen hua gai ge), CHINA DAILY (Nov. 18, 2013, 2:55 PM), http://www.ce.cn/xwzx/gnsz/szyw/201311/18/t20131118\_1767104.shtml.

<sup>60.</sup> Jinping, supra note 46.

<sup>61.</sup> Yang Yi (Ed.), *Highlights of work report of China's Supreme People's Court*, XINHUANET (Mar. 10, 2014, 3:15 PM), http://news.xinhuanet.com/english/special/2014-03/10/c\_133174809.htm.

<sup>62.</sup> Bradsher & Buckley, supra note 20.

<sup>63.</sup> See Pharmaceutical Giants Rethink Rebate Marketing, supra note 36.

72

transparent market economy.<sup>64</sup> China passed the Anti-Unfair Competition Law of the People's Republic of China on September 2, 1993, to fight commercial bribery and to promote fair competition in the market.<sup>65</sup> Article 8 of this Law specifically targets commercial bribery.<sup>66</sup> To strengthen the enforcement of anticorruption laws, the State Authority of Industry and Commerce ("SAIC") issued the Interim Provisions on Banning Commercial Bribery on November 15, 1996.<sup>67</sup> Chinese Criminal Law bans serious commercial bribery.<sup>68</sup> As discussed previously, the government had prosecuted and convicted more than thirty thousand individuals in corruption cases in 2013.<sup>69</sup> The President of China's Supreme People's Court ("SPC"), Zhou Quiang has indicated that the SPC plans to further increase its efforts to battle commercial corruption.<sup>70</sup>

By offering bribes, GSK challenged the sincerity of the Chinese government's extensive legislation on private sector bribery, suggesting that bribery is an unofficial norm within the Chinese market—the law notwithstanding.<sup>71</sup> With its record-breaking fine against GSK,<sup>72</sup> China has signaled the contrary to the international community, a message that will hopefully diminish the negative effects that the bribes of GSK and other MNCs have had on the integrity of fair play among firms in China. Of course, this may take some time.<sup>73</sup>

#### B. Harm to Consumer Welfare

According to an old Chinese proverb, "When the snipe and the clam grapple, the fisherman profits." Consumers will be some of the most important beneficiaries of fair competition among pharmaceutical

<sup>64.</sup> *Cf. id.*; Jinping, *supra* note 46 (explaining China's history, culture, and people's way of thinking, as well as profound changes currently taking place, in order to provide a "transparent picture" of China).

<sup>65.</sup> Law of P.R.C. Against Unfair Competition, art. 8.

<sup>66.</sup> *Id*.

<sup>67.</sup> Criminal Law of the P.R.C., arts. 163–64, *supra* note 56; Guan yu jin zhi shang ye hui lu xing wei de zan xing gui ding (关于禁止商业贿赂行为的暂行规定) [Interim Provisions on Banning Commercial Bribery] (promulgated by Order No. 60 of the Dir. General of the State Admin. for Indus. and Commerce on Nov. 15, 1996, effective Nov. 15, 1996), art. 5 (China), *available at* http://www.law infochina.com/display.aspx?lib=law&id=7886&CGid= [hereinafter Interim Provisions on Banning Commercial Bribery].

<sup>68.</sup> Criminal Law of the P.R.C., supra note 56.

<sup>69.</sup> Highlights of Work Report of Supreme People's Court, CHINA DAILY (Mar. 10, 2014, 3:17 PM), http://www.chinadaily.com.cn/china/2014npcandcppcc/2014-03/10/content\_17336143.htm (Highlighting 2013 SPC statistics from a report on the SPC's work at the third plenary meeting of the second session of China's 12th National People's Congress (NPC) at the Great Hall of the People in Beijing, capital of China) [hereinafter SPC Report].

<sup>70.</sup> *Id*.

<sup>71.</sup> See Karl M. Meessen, Fighting Corruption Across the Border, 18 FORDHAM INT'L L.J. 1647, 1647 (1995) ("Corruption [in] private business has no little role in discrediting... freshly installed free market systems.").

<sup>72.</sup> See Plumridge & Burkitt, supra note 21.

<sup>73.</sup> See Kang, supra note 53, at 17 ("When companies lose consumer confidence, it will directly affect share prices, aggravating profits and threatening long-term existence of companies.").

<sup>74.</sup> Yù Bàng Xiāng Zhēng, CHINA CULTURE, http://www.chinaculture.org/gb/en\_learning/2003-09/24/content\_20580.htm (last visited Sept. 28, 2015).

companies.<sup>75</sup> The fiercer the competition, the more benefits the consumers will acquire in terms of better quality and lower prices.<sup>76</sup> If, however, competitors are interested in building their competitiveness by bribery, instead of better products or services, the quality of the goods or services will not be improved and the price will not be lowered.<sup>77</sup> Thus, consumers will suffer double damages from bribery—higher prices and poorer quality of the goods or services.<sup>78</sup>

Through its bribes in China, GSK inflated its medicine prices and passed on the cost of hundreds of millions of dollars in bribes directly to patients. If patients pay medical bills themselves, they are directly hurt by the increased costs. Even if the medical bills are paid by commercial insurance companies or the social security system, patients are still victims, because patients or their employers will pay increased insurance premiums or taxes. A GSK senior executive held in detention admitted that the bribes would be reflected in higher medicine prices; thus, [a] product that cost only thirty yuan (\$4.89) to make could end up costing patients 300 yuan (\$48.94). A significant portion of this differential may constitute a direct imposition of the costs of bribery passed onto consumers.

#### C. Harm to the Commercial Culture

In addition to damaging its own image, GSK has also compromised the integrity of the commercial culture.<sup>83</sup> The Chinese government has been actively welcoming MNCs to do business in China for the past three decades.<sup>84</sup> Moreover, Chinese consumers and the public in general have considerable expectations of foreign brands, as foreign brands not only

<sup>75.</sup> See Marie M. Dalton, Efficiency v. Morality: The Codification of Cultural Norms in the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, 2 N.Y.U. J. L. & Bus. 583, 585–89 (2006).

<sup>76.</sup> See generally Kevin D. Dayaratna, Competitive Markets in Health Care: The Next Revolution, THE HERITAGE FOUNDATION (Aug. 19, 2013), http://www.heritage.org/research/reports/2013/08/competitive-markets-in-health-care-the-next-revolution.

<sup>77.</sup> Cf. Charles Kenny, Construction, Corruption, and Developing Countries (World Bank Policy Research Working Paper No. 4271, 2007), available at https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/bitstream/handle/10986/7451/wps4271.pdf?sequence=1 (asserting "the major impact of corruption is felt" beyond bribe payments and extends "to poor quality construction of infrastructure with low economic returns alongside low funding for maintenance").

<sup>78.</sup> Cf. id.

<sup>79.</sup> See Sarah L. Barber & Lan Yao, Health insurance systems in China: A Briefing Note 4.1–4.4 (World Health Report Background Paper 37, 2010), available at http://www.who.int/healthsystems/topics/financing/healthreport/37ChinaB\_YFINAL.pdf.

<sup>80.</sup> Yin Pumin, Clamping Down on Corporate Bribery, BEIJING REVIEW, http://www.bjreview.com.cn/business/txt/2013-07/29/content\_557941\_2.htm (last updated Aug. 1, 2013).

<sup>81.</sup> *Id*.

<sup>82.</sup> Cf. Kenny, supra note 77.

<sup>83.</sup> See Kevin Done, High Levels of Bribes Harm Rate of Growth, FIN. TIMES, June 28, 1996, at 4 (citing a report by the World Bank that finds corruption to be among the most important factors creating popular resistance to market reform); Neelima Mahajan & Major Tian, The Going Gets Tough for MNCs in China, CKGSB KNOWLEDGE (Aug. 20, 2014), http://knowledge.ckgsb.edu.cn/2014/08/20/china/the-going-gets-tough-for-mncs-in-china/.

<sup>84.</sup> See Yigang Pan & Peter S. K. Chi, Financial Performance and Survival of Multinational Corporations in China, 20 STRATEGIC MGMT. J. 359, 360 (1999).

mean new products supporting advanced technology, new management skills, and new capital, 85 but also new ideas and a new commercial culture. 86

Undoubtedly, Chinese businesses and consumers are disappointed with the alleged misbehaviors at GSK and other MNCs.<sup>87</sup> Of course, the laws that forbid MNC bribery apply with equal force to domestic firms.<sup>88</sup> Unfortunately, unspoken bribery is also thought to exist in some domestic firms in China.<sup>89</sup> The public, however, hopes and believes that MNCs will provide good examples for Chinese domestic firms, as the MNCs create a new business culture helping to eradicate local unspoken corruption.<sup>90</sup> It also seems that a consensus has been reached to step up enforcement of China's anticorruption laws,<sup>91</sup> which have already been applied to domestic firms, on MNCs.<sup>92</sup>

#### IV. THE INTERNATIONAL ANTICORRUPTION FRAMEWORK

Many countries, including China, have recently enacted statutes to combat foreign corruption and bribery practices.<sup>93</sup> These efforts have taken on two primary paths: public and private. In the United States, the FCPA is a significant example of a dominant public enforcement mechanism. As the first domestic law to criminalize foreign bribery, it inspired the OECD to draft a major treaty on antibribery.<sup>94</sup> In the private realm, international arbitration tribunals hold contracts obtained through bribes unenforceable.<sup>95</sup> These arbitration tribunals penalize companies and in-

- 87. See Hirschler, supra note 16 (explaining how a slump in sales indicates market disapproval).
- 88. See, e.g., Interim Provisions on Banning Commercial Bribery, supra note 67.
- 89. See SPC Report, supra note 69; Shobert, supra note 85.
- 90. *Cf.* Shobert, *supra* note 85.
- 91. See Bradsher & Buckley, supra note 20.

74

<sup>85.</sup> Indeed, MNC goods and services often demand a premium in Chinese markets, where (regardless of actual quality) consumers tend to believe that MNC goods and services are more reliable than domestic alternatives, due to, for example, better technology and consumer friendly policies. See Jill Gabrielle Klein et al., The Animosity Model of Foreign Product Purchase: An Empirical Test in the People's Republic of China, 62 J. MARKETING 89 (1998); Benjamin Shobert, The Ethical Challenges of Doing Business in China's Healthcare Economy, HEALTH INTEL ASIA (Feb. 5, 2014), http://www.healthintelasia.com/ethical-challenges-business-chinas-healthcare-economy/. See also Mahajan & Tian, surra note 83

<sup>86.</sup> See generally Chung Chen et al., The Role of Foreign Direct Investment in China's Post-1978 Economic Development, 23 WORLD DEVELOPMENT (1995) (finding foreign direct investment positively associated with economic growth).

<sup>92.</sup> David W. Simon & Robert H. Iseman, *Domestic Anti-Bribery Enforcement May Be on the Rise in China: Multinationals Must Focus on Anti-Corruption Compliance*, LEGAL NEWS: EYE ON CHINA QUARTERLY NEWSLETTER (May 1, 2013), http://www.foley.com/spring-2013-eye-on-chinanewsletter-04-29-2013/, (noting the relatively large number of corruption investigations, many of which involved domestic companies, that have taken place between 2000 and 2009).

<sup>93.</sup> DAVID KENNEDY & DAN DANIELSEN, BUSTING BRIBERY: SUSTAINING THE GLOBAL MOMENTUM OF THE FOREIGN CORRUPT PRACTICES ACT 21 (2011), available at https://www.opensocietyfoundations.org/sites/default/files/Busting%2520Bribery2011September.pdf.

<sup>94.</sup> See Evan P. Lestelle, Comment, The Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, International Norms of Foreign Public Bribery, and Extraterritorial Jurisdiction, 83 Tul. L. Rev. 527, 542–43 (2008).

<sup>95.</sup> See id. at 545-46.

#### No. 1] BUSINESS IN A CONNECTED SOCIETY

dividuals who pay bribes, not foreign officials. 6 This Part begins with a description of China's anticorruption laws, continues with an overview of the FCPA in the United States, and concludes with a brief comparison of the sanctions of the two regimes.

## A. China's Anticorruption Laws

Two statutes are of particular importance to China's anticorruption efforts: the Criminal Law of the People's Republic of China ("Criminal Law")<sup>97</sup> and the Anti-Unfair Competition Law of the People's Republic of China ("AUCL").<sup>98</sup> Commercial bribery is considered an unfair competition practice under Chinese law.<sup>99</sup> To restrict unfair competition among firms, China passed the AUCL in 1993. Article 8 of the law states:

A business operator shall not resort to bribery, by offering money or goods or by any other means, in selling or purchasing commodities. A business operator who offers off-the-book rebate in secret to the other party, a unit or an individual, shall be deemed and punished as offering bribes; and any unit or individual that accepts off-the-book rebate in secret shall be deemed and punished as taking bribes.<sup>100</sup>

China's Criminal Law also bans commercial bribery. Article 164 penalizes offering bribes to nonpublic employees in business companies or enterprises. <sup>101</sup> Article 389 penalizes offering bribes to public employees of state-owned companies or nonprofit organizations. <sup>102</sup> Article 391 penalizes offering bribes to government agents, state-owned companies or nonprofit organizations. Article 393 penalizes corporations offering bribes to public employees. <sup>103</sup> The Chinese Criminal Law is not confined to domestic bribery. As more Chinese firms pursue overseas markets, Paragraph 2 of Article 164 of Chinese Criminal Law has been invoked to punish Chinese firms offering bribes to public employees in foreign countries or international public organizations for the purpose of acquiring an unfair commercial interest. <sup>104</sup> GSK has allegedly broken both the Chinese Anti-Unfair Competition Law and the Criminal Law. <sup>105</sup>

75

<sup>96.</sup> Rashna Bhojwani, Note, *Deterring Global Bribery: Where Public and Private Enforcement Collide*, 112 COLUM. L. REV. 66, 67 (2012) (citing *World Duty Free*, ICSID Case No. ARB/00/7, ¶ 181, 46 I.L.M. at 369–70).

<sup>97.</sup> Criminal Law of the P.R.C., *supra* note 56.

<sup>98.</sup> Law of P.R.C. Against Unfair Competition, art. 8, *supra* note 56.

<sup>99.</sup> See Zhang, supra note 46 (noting the current trend in China toward both increased regulation and prosecution of commercial corruption, including bribery).

<sup>100.</sup> Law of P.R.C. Against Unfair Competition, *supra* note 56.

<sup>101.</sup> Criminal Law of the P.R.C., supra note 56.

<sup>101.</sup> *Id*.

<sup>103.</sup> Id.

<sup>104.</sup> Id

<sup>105.</sup> See Law of P.R.C. Against Unfair Competition, supra note 56; Criminal Law of the P.R.C., supra note 56; Bradsher & Buckley, supra note 20.

The penalties under the Criminal Law depend on the identity of the party offering the bribe. 106 Acts involving official bribery may include penalties anywhere from criminal detention to life imprisonment and/or confiscation of property. 107 Commercial bribery may be penalized anywhere from criminal detention to 10 years' imprisonment and/or criminal fines. 108 Those involved in the management of the company directly responsible for the issue may be punished as individual bribers. 109 The AUCL provides for penalties including a fine up to approximately \$32,000 and disgorgement of illegal income for violations that do not amount to a criminal act. 110 Under the AUCL, the competitors may allege harm from commercial bribery and bring a civil claim for damages before the People's Court. 111 Although the AUCL and Criminal Law have not always been applied impartially, 112 it is anticipated that foreign and domestic firms, as well as public and private firms, will soon be regulated and protected on an equal basis under the rule of law. 113

Since the meeting of the 18th National Congress of the Communist Party of China in 2012, President Xi Jinping has reiterated the significance of "rule of law" in the country's antigraft efforts. The Fourth Plenum of the 18th CPC Central Committee also set a goal of "governance according to the law" in its detailed Decision on Some Major Questions in Comprehensively Promoting Governing the Country According to Law.<sup>114</sup> Thus, governance based on the rule of law is the guideline in the nation's massive anticorruption campaign which has become even more powerful and widespread since the 18th Party Congress.<sup>115</sup>

While the law has teeth, the market has eyes. The Chinese Supreme Procuratorate has set up a special database of commercial bribery cases, which is available to the public for inspection. 116 Once publicly disclosed,

<sup>106.</sup> Wantao Yang et al., *Understanding China's Approach to Anticorruption*, CORPORATE COUNSEL, at 2 (Jan. 28, 2014), *available at* http://www.mmlawus.com/Data/Files/Articles/Corporate% 20Counsel%20China%20article.pdf.

<sup>107.</sup> Id.

<sup>108.</sup> Id.

<sup>109.</sup> Id.

<sup>110.</sup> Id.

<sup>111.</sup> Id.

<sup>112.</sup> See Strong Arm of the Law, CHINA ECON. REV. (July 13, 2012), http://www.china economicreview.com/strong-arm-law ("Of the 500,000 corruption investigations undertaken in China between 2000 and 2009, 64% involved foreign companies, according to a 2010 study by Anbound Group, a Beijing-based consulting company.").

<sup>113.</sup> See Jaime A. FlorCruz, Opinion: Corruption as China's Top Priority, CNN (Jan. 6, 2013, 9:52 PM), http://www.cnn.com/2013/01/06/world/asia/florcruz-china-corruption.

<sup>114.</sup> Donald Clarke, *Decision of the Fourth Plenum of the 18th CCP Central Committee (English)*, GENIUS (Oct. 23, 2014), http://genius.com/Central-committee-of-the-chinese-communist-party-decision-of-the-fourth-plenum-of-the-18th-ccp-central-committee-english-annotated (translated by Jeremy Daum & Rogier Creemers).

<sup>115.</sup> Wu Jianxiong, Lawbreaking Graft Should Be Unexceptionally Punished, CHINA DAILY (Jan. 12, 2015, 1:44 PM), http://europe.chinadaily.com.cn/opinion/2015-01/12/content\_19296257.htm.

<sup>116.</sup> The Supreme People's Procuratorate on Bribery Files Provisional Regulations, SUPREME PEOPLE'S PROCURATORATE (Mar. 22, 2013), http://www.yfw.com.cn/xhfzdacx/xxfb/201303/t20130322\_1072063.shtml (translated by authors).

a firm's bribery record will likely have a negative impact on future business. For instance, firms that have engaged in bribery will likely be put at a disadvantage when they bid for government procurement contracts or governmental funds.

## B. The Foreign Corrupt Practices Act of 1977 ("FCPA")

As mentioned above, in addition to China's investigation of GSK and its corrupt practices, the DOJ has started an investigation. The United States is investigating whether GSK violated U.S. antibribery laws, specifically the FCPA, in China.<sup>117</sup>

Through the FCPA, the United States criminalized payment of bribes by domestic companies, as well as foreign companies listed on the U.S. stock exchanges, to foreign officials. <sup>118</sup> The United States has encouraged other countries to follow suit. <sup>119</sup> The OECD, the Organization of American States, the Council of Europe, the United Nations, and the African Union have all adopted anticorruption provisions similar to the FCPA. <sup>120</sup>

The FCPA was passed as an amendment to the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 in an effort to eliminate foreign corruption by U.S. firms overseas. 121 The antibribery provisions ban U.S. companies, U.S. citizens, foreign companies listed on a U.S. stock exchange, as well as a "person" acting within U.S. territory, from paying or offering to pay directly or indirectly, money or anything else of value to a foreign official to obtain or retain their business. 122 These provisions range from prohibiting cash payments to foreign officials, to more nuanced prohibitions against indirect gifts with intangible benefits. 123 Although anyone committing bribery within the U.S. jurisdiction can be found to be violating the FCPA antibribery provisions, foreign officials who

<sup>117.</sup> US Prosecutors Add China Bribery Allegations to GSK Probe, supra note 34.

<sup>118.</sup> Steven R. Salbu, *Bribery in the Global Market: A Critical Analysis of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act*, 54 WASH & LEE L. REV. 229, 239–40 (1997).

<sup>119.</sup> At the 1997 OECD Convention signatory countries agreed to enact measures that were similar to the FCPA. In 2009, the OECD Council adopted two more recommendations relating to tax measures and reporting foreign bribery. See Amy Deen Westbrook, Enthusiastic Enforcement, Informal Legislation: The Unruly Expansion of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, 45 GA. L. REV. 489, 511 n.4 (2011).

<sup>120</sup> Id at 512

<sup>121.</sup> *Id.* at 494. Beyond criminalizing the payment of bribes to foreign officials, the FCPA requires public companies to meet certain standards with respect to their books, records, and accounting practices. Tor Krever, *Curbing Corruption? The Efficacy of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act*, 33 N.C. J. INT'L. L. & COM. REG. 83, 87–88 (2007). The FCPA was passed in response to the Watergate scandal and the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission's ("SEC") investigation that discovered over \$300 million in payments by U.S. firms to foreign officials. *Id.* The report included major U.S. MNCs such as Boeing, Gulf Oil, and Exxon Mobil. *Id.* The FCPA was amended in 1988 and 1998. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act (amendments), Pub. L. No. 100-418, 102 Stat. 1415 (1988); International Anti-Bribery and Fair Competition Act of 1998, Pub. L. No. 105-366, 112 Stat. 3302 (1998); Thomas McSorley, *Foreign Corrupt Practices Act*, 48 AM. CRIM. L. REV. 749, 750–51, 753 (2011).

<sup>122.</sup> McSorley, *supra* note 121, at 751 n.7.

<sup>123.</sup> Id. at 757.

receive the bribe cannot be prosecuted under these provisions.<sup>124</sup> The FCPA applies to all U.S businesses and persons, regardless of whether the bribery occurs within the United States or abroad and to foreign companies or persons utilizing interstate commerce. To constitute a violation of the FCPA, the foreign official must be aware that the payment received is payment for some illegal action.<sup>125</sup>

The FCPA imposes both criminal and civil penalties on those acting on behalf of U.S. companies. <sup>126</sup> Under Subsection 2B4.1 of the Sentencing Guidelines, any individual found in violation of the antibribery provisions can be fined up to \$100,000 and imprisoned up to five years per violation. <sup>127</sup> If a corporation is found to have violated the antibribery provisions, the maximum criminal penalty per violation is \$2 million. <sup>128</sup> The DOJ and the SEC may increase the fine by combining both civil and criminal penalties. Penalties vary depending on the level of due diligence and the effectiveness of compliance. <sup>129</sup> Civil penalties of up to \$10,000 may also be added for antibribery provision violations. <sup>130</sup> In addition, if a corporation or individual violates the FCPA, they may be subject to suspension from contracts with government agencies. <sup>131</sup>

<sup>124.</sup> Id. at 759.

<sup>125.</sup> This is done partly in an attempt to make sure the government contracts are not inhibited by the FCPA. One exception and two affirmative defenses exist for the antibribery provisions of the FCPA. "Grease payments" to foreign officials in order to "expedite or secure the performance of routine government actions" are permissible under the statute. Id. at 764. Payments fit this exception when they are routine and occur within the ordinary business of the foreign official. Courts focus on the intent of the individual making the payment as well as the purpose of the payment. United States v. Kay, 359 F.3d 738, 740 (5th Cir. 2004). The affirmative defenses to the FCPA antibribery provisions are: (1) a payment, gift or promise of "anything of value" to a foreign official . . . if that type of payment is legally allowed under the country's laws, and (2) the payment for a bona fide expenditure, which lacks a corrupt purpose. McSorley, supra note 121, at 765. The courts have not yet interpreted the second defense. An expenditure will likely be considered reasonable and bona fide by the DOJ when (1) it is made directly to the service provider as opposed to the government official, and (2) where the company making the payments does not have pending business with the government agency whose employee is receiving the benefits of the payment. Id. at 765-66. See FCPA Opinion Procedure Release 2004-01 (2004); FCPA Opinion Procedure Release 2004-03 (2004). The DOJ and the SEC are both responsible for enforcement of the FCPA antibribery provisions. The DOJ is solely responsible for any criminal investigation and enforcement. The SEC is primarily responsible for any civil investigation; however, the DOJ may conduct a parallel investigation. McSorley, supra note 121, at 766. To provide guidance to issuers and businesses, the DOJ uses advisory opinion letters, and the SEC may write no-action letters. *Id.* at 767.

<sup>126.</sup> Foreign Corrupt Practices Act of 1977, Pub. L. No. 95-123, 91 Stat. 1494 (1977); McSorley, *supra* note 121, at 767. Prior to the 1998 amendments, the penalties were only civil. *Id.* 

<sup>127.</sup> McSorley, *supra* note 121, at 769. The U.S. Sentencing Guidelines are advisory. *Id.* at 768. In contrast, individuals violating the accounting provisions may be fined up to \$5 million and imprisoned for up to twenty years under § 2B1.1 of the Guidelines. *Id.* at 769. Sarbanes-Oxley increased the maximum penalties to their current levels. Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, Pub. L. No. 107-204, 116 Stat. 745, 810 (2002) (enacting § 1106).

<sup>128.</sup> McSorley, *supra* note 121, at 770 (highlighting that, by contrast, the maximum penalty for the violation of the accounting provisions by a corporation is \$25 million).

<sup>129.</sup> Id.

<sup>130</sup> Id

<sup>131.</sup> Id. at 771.

Recently, there has been a surge in the enforcement of the FCPA's antibribery provisions. <sup>132</sup> Corporations are under greater scrutiny by the SEC and the DOJ. Both agencies, along with the federal courts, are interpreting the FCPA more broadly, <sup>133</sup> increasing not just their enforcement actions, but the penalties imposed on the parties as well. <sup>134</sup>

Because every instance of bribery or record-keeping violations can count as a separate act for penalty purposes, considerable fines and penalties may be imposed in cases involving longstanding patterns of corruption. 135 Over the past decade, the DOJ and SEC have made their willingness to take advantage of this provision abundantly clear. In 2008, for instance, Siemens agreed to an FCPA settlement of \$800 million with the DOJ and SEC (\$450 million in criminal fines to the DOJ and \$350 million in civil damages to the SEC). 136 Due to Siemens' extensive cooperation with their investigations, the DOJ and the SEC agreed to lower the criminal fine and penalties.<sup>137</sup> Additionally, the DOJ and the SEC did not require Siemens to formally plead guilty to bribery charges, allowing the company to continue bidding for public sector projects in the United States. In the years following the Siemens case, other notable fines and penalties imposed under the FCPA's antibribery provisions have included (among many others) \$559 million paid by Halliburton to settle charges that it bribed officials in Nigeria while constructing a gas plant, 138 \$400 million in fines paid by the British weapons maker BAE Systems, \$137 million paid by the French telecom company Alcatel-Lucent, and \$200 million paid by the German auto-manufacturer Daimler.139

Today, the U.S. regulatory agencies appear focused on oil and gas, technology, pharmaceuticals, and medical supplies industries. <sup>140</sup> In 2012, the SEC charged Eli Lilly and Co. for improper payments made by its subsidiaries to foreign government officials to win business in Russia, Brazil, China, and Poland. <sup>141</sup> Eli Lilly and Co. eventually agreed to pay \$29 million to settle the charges without admitting or denying any of the

<sup>132.</sup> Benjamin Gruenstein, *Upswing in FCPA Cases Results in Increased Judicial Oversight*; *Courts Scrutinize Prosecutions Against Individuals*, N.Y. L.J. SPECIAL SELECTION (July 8, 2013) ("From 2008 through May 2013, the Department of Justice ("DOJ") charged over 80 individuals with violating the FCPA, over twice the number that was prosecuted in the 10 preceding years.").

<sup>133.</sup> Krever, supra note 121, at 94.

<sup>134.</sup> See Dan Slater, Halliburton Breaks FCPA Settlement Record for U.S. Companies, WALL ST. J. (Jan. 26, 2009, 5:37 PM), http://blogs.wsj.com/law/2009/01/26/halliburton-breaks-fcpa-settlement-record-for-us-companies/.

<sup>135.</sup> AARON G. MURPHY, FOREIGN CORRUPT PRACTICES ACT: A PRACTICAL RESOURCE FOR MANAGERS AND EXECUTIVES 23–24 (2010).

<sup>136.</sup> Dan Slater, Siemens Settles in U.S. for \$800 Mil, Leaving \$\$ for German Authorities, WALL St. J. (Dec. 15, 2008, 8:57 AM), http://blogs.wsj.com/law/2008/12/15/siemens-settles-in-us-for-800-milleaving-for-german-authorities/.

<sup>137.</sup> MURPHY, *supra* note 135, at 24.

<sup>138.</sup> Slater, Halliburton Breaks FCPA Settlement Record for U.S. Companies, supra note 134.

<sup>139.</sup> MURPHY, supra note 135, at 24.

<sup>140.</sup> Westbrook, supra note 119, at 523

<sup>141.</sup> SEC Enforcement Actions: FCPA Cases, U.S. SECURITY AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION, https://www.sec.gov/spotlight/fcpa/fcpa-cases.shtml (last updated Aug. 18, 2015).

allegations. <sup>142</sup> In the same year, the SEC charged Pfizer with making illegal payments to foreign officials through its subsidiaries in Bulgaria, China, Croatia, and Italy (among others) in order to secure regulatory approvals and increased prescriptions for Pfizer products. <sup>143</sup> Pfizer agreed to pay \$45 million in its settlements. <sup>144</sup>

The largest fine administered in a single FCPA case thus far was the \$800 million penalty imposed on Siemens in 2008. <sup>145</sup> In total, the company paid \$1.6 billion in fines and costs in Germany and the United States and more than \$1 billon for internal reforms and investigations. <sup>146</sup> The combined \$1.6 billion U.S.-German fine was the largest imposed for bribery in modern corporate history. Siemens was allowed to plead guilty to violating accounting provisions of the FCPA rather than bribery because of its cooperation with SEC and DOJ investigations. <sup>147</sup>

The Siemens case is significant due to the sheer breadth and amount of money involved. The annual bribery budget of the corporation from 2002–2006 was approximately \$40–\$50 million. Yarious Siemens executives and employees arranged payments that eventually were pocketed by officials all around the globe. The company paid its largest bribes in China, Russia, Argentina, Israel, and Venezuela.

The executives interviewed believed that they had to bribe officials in order to receive the contracts and retain all their employees. As Mr. Siekaczek, a midlevel executive who was convicted during the scandal, stated, "[w]e thought we had to do it [or] we'd ruin the company." Once various countries began to investigate the company's suspicious account activities, however, Siemens quickly changed its direction. The corporation hired an American law firm, Debevoise & Plimpton, to conduct an internal investigation and to work with the federal investigators. A number of senior managers were arrested, and the CEO resigned. Almost immediately, the board recruited Peter Löscher to become the first outsider to lead the company as the CEO since Siemens' founding. He Within months, the company replaced over eighty percent of

<sup>142.</sup> Carlos Ortiz et al., Greatest Pharmaceutical Executive Risk: DOJ and the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, Pharmaceutical Compliance Monitor (June 24, 2013), http://www.pharmacompliancemonitor.com/greatest-pharmaceutical-executive-risk-doj-and-the-foreign-corrupt-practices-act/5053/.

<sup>143.</sup> SEC Enforcement Actions: FCPA Cases, supra note 141.

<sup>144.</sup> Id.

<sup>145.</sup> Richard L. Cassin, *Alcoa lands 5th on our Top Ten list*, THE FCPA BLOG (Jan. 10, 2014), http://www.fcpablog.com/blog/2014/1/10/alcoa-lands-5th-on-our-top-ten-list.html.

<sup>146.</sup> Siri Schubert & T. Christian Miller, *At* Siemens, *Bribery Was Just a Line Item*, N.Y. TIMES (Dec. 20, 2008), http://www.nytimes.com/2008/12/21/business/worldbusiness/21siemens.html.

<sup>147.</sup> *Id*.

<sup>148.</sup> *Id*.

<sup>149.</sup> Id.

<sup>150.</sup> Id.

<sup>151.</sup> Id.

<sup>152.</sup> *Id*.

<sup>153.</sup> Id

<sup>154.</sup> Peter Loscher, *The CEO of Siemens on Using a Scandal to Drive Change*, HARV. BUS. REV. 39 (Nov. 2012).

81

#### No. 1] BUSINESS IN A CONNECTED SOCIETY

its top executives.<sup>155</sup> Ultimately, eighty percent of the managing board members left the company as well.<sup>156</sup>

Despite the scandal, Siemens was able to revive its reputation and has continuously increased its revenue since the scandal. Surprisingly, some of its largest contracts in the U.S. in the recent years have come from the U.S. federal government. Since the scandal, Siemens has hired hundreds of compliance officers and is considered to be a corporate standard-bearer in its anticorruption efforts. Moreover, although some predicted revenues would decrease when bribes were no longer offered to secure contracts, revenues increased. Bribery is an expensive proposition and the gains in obtaining the contracts were more than offset by the costs incurred in paying bribes.

The FCPA is not without its critics, however. Most frequently, the Act's detractors have contended that the FCPA is over enforced and that the statute is vague and overly broad, creating confusion as to which actions the FCPA makes illegal. 162 The problem of ambiguity (the argument goes) is "compounded by the fact that fears of the negative consequences of indictment or conviction" make challenging aggressive theories of liability nearly impossible for private firms, whose (financial) success typically depends upon maintaining a positive reputation in the marketplace. 163 Additionally, the FCPA may diminish the ability of U.S.-based firms to compete overseas with corporations who are not within the FCPA's jurisdiction. These companies do not have the same incentives to avoid the bribing of foreign officials and may benefit unfairly. 164 Finally, the FCPA does not necessarily cause U.S. firms to act more ethically. It may simply induce companies to resort to more sophisticated measures of hiding payments, and push bribery further

<sup>155.</sup> Id. at 40.

<sup>156.</sup> Id. at 41.

<sup>157.</sup> *Id.* at 42; Graham Dietz & Nicole Gillespie, *Rebuilding Trust: How Siemens Atoned for Its Sins*, THE GUARDIAN (Mar. 26, 2012, 7:54 AM), http://www.theguardian.com/sustainable-business/recovering-business-trust-siemens.

<sup>158.</sup> See, e.g., Company Overview of Siemens Government Technologies, Inc., BLOOMBERG BUSINESS, http://investing.businessweek.com/research/stocks/private/snapshot.asp?privcapId=46571 723 (last updated Aug. 24, 2015); Press Release, NNSA, NNSA Awards Contract for Largest Federal Wind Farm to Siemens Government Technologies, Inc. (Jan. 15, 2013), http://nnsa.energy.gov/mediaroom/pressreleases/windfarm011513.

<sup>159.</sup> Vanessa Fuhrmans, Shrugging Off Bribery Case, Siemens Gains Favor in U.S., WALL St. J. (Dec. 15, 2011), http://www.wsj.com/articles/SB10001424052970203893404577098632947522176.

<sup>160.</sup> A Giant Awakens, ECONOMIST (Sept. 9, 2010), http://www.economist.com/node/16990709.

<sup>161.</sup> See id.

<sup>162.</sup> Joseph W. Yockey, Choosing Governance in the FCPA Reform Debate, 38 J. CORP. L. 325, 332 (2013) ("To take one example, critics make much of the fact that the FCPA's definition of 'foreign official' includes 'any officer or employee of a foreign government or and...instrumentality thereof.' Concerns arise because the statute does not define the term 'instrumentality,' and some experienced attorneys and managers claim that they have a hard time figuring out who or what comes within its scope.") (citations omitted).

<sup>163.</sup> *Id*.

<sup>164.</sup> Krever, supra note 121, at 93.

under the radar.<sup>165</sup> Yet, the Siemens case, discussed above, provides a counterexample to these fears.

# C. Comparing FCPA Sanctions to Chinese Sanctions

The potential sanctions against violators available to the governments of the United States and China differ notably. Under the FCPA, corporations may face up to a \$2 million fine per violation and individuals may face up to a \$100,000 fine per violation. <sup>166</sup> Furthermore, for each count of bribery, an individual may face up to five years in prison. <sup>167</sup> The SEC has the ability to pursue the equitable remedy of disgorgement of all profits due to the corrupt activity. <sup>168</sup>

Under Chinese Criminal Law, there are also criminal fines and potential confiscation of property. <sup>169</sup> In practice, however, the fines have been modest under both the Criminal Law and the AUCL in comparison to the large settlements seen under the FCPA. <sup>170</sup> Yet, although the fines may have been smaller, there is a potential for life imprisonment in China, something that ought to be a substantial concern for those operating in China, especially corporate executives. <sup>171</sup>

GSK was fined three billion yuan (\$489 million) for paying bribes and five senior executives were sentenced to two to four years in prison. Partial to full reprieves were granted for all five by the Changsha Intermediate People's Court in central China's Hunan Province in September 2014. 172 Compared with previous criminal penalties imposed in similar commercial bribery cases, three billion yuan is the largest fine imposed thus far. 173 Yet, it is expected that other foreign firms and domestic firms will be subject to the same or even higher penalties for corruption in the future. 174 Otherwise, there is a risk that GSK will be perceived as a case of selective legal enforcement targeting foreign firms. Enforcement of the laws across all firms may be necessary to demonstrate China's commitment to the rule of law in the Chinese market economy.

<sup>165.</sup> Id. at 101.

<sup>166.</sup> Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, Pub. L. No. 100-418, § 5003, 102 Stat. 1423 (1988); McSorley, *supra* note 121, at 770–71. Prior to the 1998 amendments, the penalties were only civil. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, Pub. L. No. 100-418, § 5003, 102 Stat. 1425 (1988).

<sup>167.</sup> Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, Pub. L. No. 100-418, § 5003, 102 Stat. 1425 (1988).

<sup>168.</sup> Krever, *supra* note 121, at 96.

<sup>169.</sup> See Criminal Law of the P.R.C., supra, note 56.

<sup>170.</sup> Martin Rogers et al., GSK: A Case Study, CHINA LAW & PRACTICE (July/Aug., 2014), at 14, available at http://www.davispolk.com/sites/default/files/52399256\_1\_0.pdf.

<sup>171.</sup> See Criminal Law of the P.R.C., supra note 56.

<sup>172.</sup> See GSK China Hit with Record Fine, Says Sorry, CHINA DAILY (Sept. 19, 2014, 7:14 PM), http://usa.chinadaily.com.cn/china/2014-09/19/content\_18630605.htm.

<sup>173.</sup> Martin Rogers et al., supra note 170, at 17.

<sup>174.</sup> *Id*.

#### V. WHY THE GSK BRIBERY WAS POSSIBLE IN CHINA

It is not enough to point out the seriousness and illegality of GSK's behavior. It is also important to identify the possible underlying causes of the alleged bribery in order to restructure the institutions that allow for commercial bribery. Without a deep understanding of the causes of commercial bribery, it is difficult to prevent other MNCs from engaging in bribery. This Part examines several distinctive features of doing business in China that MNCs should consider when designing corporate governance structures to guard against the temptation to offer bribes.

# A. Misinterpretation of Contemporary Chinese Culture

MNCs may misinterpret China's connection-based society and conclude that it is appropriate to bribe potential institutional buyers, especially public hospitals in China. <sup>175</sup> But this ignores China's contemporary efforts at reform. It is true that the Chinese market economy is not yet well developed. <sup>176</sup> It took China three decades to transform from a centrally-planned economy to a free-market economy since the late 1970s when Deng Xiaoping, the late paramount leader, came to power in China. <sup>177</sup> Usually, there is no foreign capital in a planned economy, while there is no legitimacy of bribery in a market economy. <sup>178</sup> Unspoken, hidden rules prevailed in the planned economy, while open, transparent legal rules should prevail in a market economy. <sup>179</sup> In a free market, consumers choose which goods and services they will purchase on the basis of price and quality. <sup>180</sup> Thus, in such a system, consumer access to information concerning the price and quality of goods and services ("market transparency") is paramount. <sup>181</sup>

Unspoken rules might not have completely disappeared in the process of transition from a planned economy to a market economy, as the emerging twins, the rule of law and the market economy, have not been fully fledged. 182 The National People's Congress, however, inserted

<sup>175.</sup> Shobert, supra note 85.

<sup>176.</sup> Veasna Kong et al., *China in a New Period of Transition*, 4 ECON. ROUNDUP (2012), http://www.treasury.gov.au/PublicationsAndMedia/Publications/2012/Economic-Roundup-Issue-4/HT ML/article2.

<sup>177.</sup> Id.

<sup>178.</sup> See Dalton, supra note 75, at 585. An exception to this general rule is Cuba, a planned economy that accepts foreign direct investment, particularly within its tourism industry. See generally Francoise L. Simon, Tourism Development in Transition Economies: The Cuba Case, 30 COLUM. J. WORLD BUS. 26 (1995) (analyzing the role of the tourism industry in Cuba's transition from centrally-planned economy to a market economy).

<sup>179.</sup> Yingyi Qian & Jinglian Wu, China's Transition to a Market Economy: How Far Across the River? (Ctr. for Econ. Dev. and Policy Reform, Working Paper No. 69, 2000).

<sup>180.</sup> See Philip M. Nichols, Corruption as an Assurance Problem, 19 Am. U. Int'l L. Rev. 1307, 1318 (2004).

<sup>181.</sup> *Id*.

<sup>182.</sup> In the context of healthcare, the prevalence of bribery resulted from the Chinese privatization of the industry. When China privatized healthcare, needs that had historically been addressed by the government became underfunded, and remained so for decades, leading the people tasked with healthcare delivery to seek additional sources of funding. Shobert, *supra* note 85.

the rule of law into the first paragraph of Article 5 of the Constitution on March 15, 1999, declaring, "the People's Republic of China governs the country according to law, and makes it a socialist country under rule of law." One of the latest comprehensive reform policies made by the ruling party, the Chinese Communist Party, on November 12, 2013, endorsed the rule of law. Keeping in mind the bitter lessons of the ten years of the Cultural Revolution, the rule of law has become the social consensus in contemporary China. Haddition, to further detailed reform plans, China published twelve core values including national goals of prosperity, democracy, civility, and harmony; social goals of freedom, equality, justice and the rule of law; and individual values of patriotism, dedication, integrity, and friendship. 185

Ignoring Chinese contemporary efforts and commitments to a free and open market, the rule of law, and modernization of state governance, some MNCs seek to hire so-called "elites" with good connections in China to join their subsidiaries in China. Retired officers, or relatives or close friends of current officers, are favorite candidates for the positions of senior executives in Chinese subsidiaries. Of course, some of Chinese domestic corporations have also been behaving this way. But in the past few years, China has begun to investigate and punish commercial bribery and the related corrupt activities committed by retired officers, or relatives, or close friends of current officers. The authorities are in the process of investigating accusations against over sixty government officials for bribery-related activities.

More importantly, connection-based bribery has been a special target in contemporary Chinese society. Prof. Anticorruption efforts and reforms toward a transparent and competitive market are key elements of the contemporary Chinese culture. Prof. A misunderstanding of contemporary Chinese culture may have created, and may continue to create, more bribery scandals on the part of the MNCs. Prof. It is important for MNCs to recognize that contemporary Chinese culture does not tolerate bribery.

<sup>183.</sup> XIANFA [CONSTITUTION] art. 5 (1999) (China), available at http://www.npc.gov.cn/english.npc/Constitution/node 2825.htm.

<sup>184.</sup> The Third Plenary Session of the 18th Communist Party of China Central Committee, which concluded on November 12, 2013, issued a Communiqué and a Decision laying down broad policy directions for comprehensively deepening reform and further opening-up. The Decision is widely regarded as being the blueprint for China's future development. *Comprehensively Deepening Reform*, *supra* note 59.

<sup>185.</sup> Xinhua, China Promotes Core Socialist Values, CHINA DAILY (Dec. 24, 2013), http://usa.chinadaily.com.cn/china/2013-12/24/content\_17192145.htm.

<sup>186.</sup> *Id*.

<sup>187.</sup> See Silver-Greenberg & Protess, supra note 6.

<sup>188.</sup> See Bradsher & Buckley, supra note 20.

<sup>189.</sup> Jianxiong, supra note 115.

<sup>190.</sup> Chen, supra note 7.

<sup>191.</sup> Id.

<sup>192.</sup> *Id*.

# B. Optimization of Profits

Many MNCs, including GSK, may see maximization of profits as their sole goal.<sup>193</sup> In fact, exploring and expanding their market shares may be the first priority of MNCs entering the Chinese market. Yet, in this age of globalization, emerging theories promoting the significance of nonshareholder stakeholders and corporate social responsibility are challenging the conservative notion of maximization of profits at all costs.<sup>194</sup>

As early as October 27, 2005, the Chinese legislature inserted a corporate social responsibility clause into the first paragraph of Article 5 of the Corporate Law stating: "In its operational activities, a company shall abide by laws and administrative regulations, observe social morals and commercial ethics, persist in honesty and good faith, accept supervision by the government and the public, and assume social responsibility." <sup>195</sup>

Similarly, as the result of five years of negotiation and bargaining among many different stakeholders across the world, the International Standard Organization issued ISO 26000:2010, Guidance on Social Responsibility, on November 1, 2010. 196 The Guidance provides harmonized, globally relevant guidelines for private and public sector organizations of all types, based on an international consensus among expert representatives of the main stakeholder groups, and encourages the implementation of best practices in social responsibility worldwide. 197

ISO 26000:2010 represents an international consensus to some extent, as more than 600 representatives from ninety-nine governments and forty-two international organizations<sup>198</sup>—including representatives from nongovernmental organizations ("NGOs"), industry, consumer groups, and labor organizations—were involved in its development.<sup>199</sup> The text is currently available in twenty-two languages, and a recent

<sup>193.</sup> Waheed Hussain, Corporations, Profit Maximization and the Personal Sphere, 28 ECON. & PHIL. 311, 311 (2012).

<sup>194.</sup> See LIU JUNHAI, CORPORATE SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY (1999) for further details regarding corporate social responsibility.

<sup>195.</sup> The Company Law of P.R.C. (2005), http://english.wzj.saic.gov.cn/laws/061027085055-0.htm.

<sup>196.</sup> Int'l Standard Org. [ISO], Guidance on Social Responsibility, 26000:2010, available at http://www.iso.org/iso/catalogue\_detail?csnumber=42546.

<sup>197.</sup> *Id.* ISO 26000 contains voluntary guidance, not requirements, and therefore is not used as a certification standard like ISO 9001:2008 and ISO 14001:2004.

<sup>198.</sup> Maria Lazarte, ISO 26000 — International Forum Revisits the Road Travelled, ISO (Jan. 10, 2013), http://www.iso.org/iso/home/news\_index/news\_archive/news.htm?refid=Ref1691.

<sup>199.</sup> Rudiger Hahn & Christian Weidtmann, Transnational Governance, Deliberative Democracy, and the Legitimacy of ISO 26000: Analyzing the Case of a Global Multistakeholder Process, SAGE PUBLICATIONS, 15 (2012), available at http://bas.sagepub.com/content/early/2012/10/24/000765031246 2666.full.pdf ("Members of the ISO Working Group (WG) were subdivided in six stakeholder categories (Consumers, Government, Industry, Labor, NGO, and Service, support, research and others [SSRO]) to support a balanced representation of the different stakeholders that meets the various interests of the addressees of the guideline. ISO member bodies (i.e., those national bodies that represent their country within the ISO) were allowed to nominate up to six persons (one for each of the six stakeholder categories). These nominees held an 'expert status' that included the right to participate actively at the different drafting stages.") (internal citations omitted).

survey performed by the ISO 26000 Post Publication Organization found that "at least 60 countries have adopted the standard," with twenty other countries actively pursuing adoption. Notable applications of the ISO 26000 guidelines have included a project in the Middle East/North Africa to create a pool of national social responsibility experts in eight pilot countries, the use of the guidelines by Germany's Federal Ministry for Economic Cooperation and Development, and the implementation of the guidelines by many well-known companies. Although ISO 26000:2010 is a voluntary standard, it helps clarify social responsibility by translating principles into effective actions, and sharing global best practices. 202

Optimization of profits, instead of maximization of profits, is the logical reflection of corporate responsibility and business ethics in the corporate core value framework. Profit optimization represents a certain degree of restraint, requiring reasonable profits to be made in legal, ethical, and respected ways.

This conclusion finds ample support in the literature surrounding the recent corporate social responsibility movement, which seeks to augment the factors that motivate corporate decision-making. 203 Proponents of the movement, for instance, argue that myriad interests relate to and should play a direct role in deciding corporate affairs, including (but not limited to) the concerns of environmentalists, creditors, consumers, and employees. 204 According to this theory, the possessors of these interests, "stakeholders," have a right to participate in corporate decision-making. 205

In contrast, under the more recently proposed Team Production Theory, the actors involved in and affected by corporate affairs are all part of a team.<sup>206</sup> The team comes about because the participants all believe they will gain more from acting in concert than they would

<sup>200.</sup> See Lazarte, supra note 198.

<sup>201.</sup> *Id.* ("Various companies have already implemented the standard such as Maersk, Novo-Nordisk, TeliaSonera, HSB, HM, Suzano, Petrobras, Veolia, Air France, Toshiba, AB Volvo, Takeda, Panasonic, British Telecom, TRS, and Toyota.").

<sup>202.</sup> Larry Catá Backer, Transparency Between Norm, Technique and Property in International Law and Governance: The Example of Corporate Disclosure Regimes and Environmental Impacts, 22 MINN. J. INT'L L. 1, 33–34 (2013) ("[T]he application of the ISO 26000 has [occurred] through its recent incorporation as advisory guidance in the predominant corporate social responsibility initiatives of the present day."); Int'l Org. for Standardization, ISO 26000-Social Responsibility, ISO, http://www.iso.org/iso/home/standards/iso26000.htm (last visited Sept. 28, 2015).

<sup>203.</sup> Kellye Y. Testy, *Linking Progressive Corporate Law with Progressive Social Movements*, 76 Tul. L. Rev. 1227, 1229 (2002).

<sup>204.</sup> Id. at 1238.

<sup>205.</sup> Id.

<sup>206.</sup> Margaret M. Blair & Lynn A. Stout, *A Team Production Theory of Corporate Law*, 85 VA. L. REV. 247, 278 (1999) ("[A] public corporation is a team of people who enter into a complex agreement to work together for their mutual gain. Participants—including shareholders, employees, and perhaps other stakeholders such as creditors or the local community—enter into a 'pactum subjectionis' under which they yield control over outputs and key inputs (time, intellectual skills, or financial capital) to the hierarchy.") (citation omitted).

through individual action.<sup>207</sup> Because all have participated in bringing about the team production, the (corporate) profits of that production must be allocated accordingly.<sup>208</sup> Under the theory, because the effects of an individual team member's participation are difficult to isolate, the board of directors must allocate profits via the political process.<sup>209</sup>

In recent years, these theories have done much to promote widespread awareness of the corporate social responsibility movement. Yet, neither theory is completely satisfactory. While the team production theory conceptualizes corporate work product and decision-making as collaborative enterprises dependent upon a panoply of stakeholders, by leaving the allocation of rents up to the discretion of corporate board members, the theory is descriptive rather than normative. Critics claim that, under this theory, the allocation of profit is "a matter of power rather than principle." Stakeholder theory, by contrast, departs from the underlying ideals of the corporate social responsibility movement in the opposite fashion. Rather than live up to the movement's goals of spurring more sustainable and inclusive business practices, stakeholder theory, taken to its logical extremes, may provide boards with so much discretion in decision-making that being accountable to everyone could result in being accountable to no one. 212

As reflected in the recent backlash to the GSK scandal, and as embodied by the Chinese Corporate Law mandate that companies "observe social morals and commercial ethics... and assume social responsibility," the goal of the corporate responsibility movement is not to hijack the corporate form. To the contrary, its purpose is to impress upon corporations, and especially upon MNCs like GSK, the necessity of subjecting their pursuit of profits to ethical and legal limitations. For this reason, it is the concept of optimizing profits—of maximizing profits within the boundaries set by legal, moral, and cultural standards—that best encapsulates the global movement that continues to call for more sustainable and inclusive business practices.

Moreover, in addition to providing a framework in which firms will be encouraged to protect the interests of their stakeholders, embracing profit optimization will typically be in companies' long-term profitmaximizing interests. This is especially true in emerging markets like China, where decreases in corruption have been linked to significant growth in gross domestic product per capita, which should in turn mean

<sup>207.</sup> Testy, *supra* note 203, at 1233.

<sup>208.</sup> *Id*.

<sup>209.</sup> *Id* 

<sup>210.</sup> See id. at 1234.

<sup>211.</sup> David Millon, New Game Plan or Business as Usual? A Critique of the Team Production Model of Corporate Law, 86 VA. L. REV. 1001, 1026 (2000).

<sup>212.</sup> Michael Bradley et al., *The Purposes and Accountability of the Corporation in Contemporary Society: Corporate Governance at a Crossroads*, 62 LAW & CONTEMP. PROBS. 9, 46 (1999) (offering a critique of communitarianism, a theory similar to stakeholder theory).

<sup>213.</sup> The Company Law of P.R.C. (2005), http://english.wzj.saic.gov.cn/laws/061027085055-0.htm.

increased demand for MNC products in these markets.<sup>214</sup> Additionally, by refusing to engage in corrupt practices, MNCs would avoid paying the heavy transaction costs associated with commercial bribery.<sup>215</sup> Hence, it is important for companies like GSK, which has invested heavily in China, to recognize that there is a great deal to be gained (both for themselves and their stakeholders) through playing by the rule of law. Without such a fundamental change in corporate thinking about maximization of profits, scandals such as the one embroiling GSK are likely to repeat themselves on the global stage.<sup>216</sup>

#### C. Strengthening Corporate Governance to Address Corruption

Many MNCs, including GSK, oppose corruption in their corporate policies or internal rules.<sup>217</sup> It would be both unwise and almost unheard of for an MNC to be silent on the anticorruption issue.<sup>218</sup> Therefore, the core issue is not whether the MNC has a corporate anticorruption policy, but instead, whether the corporate governance policy regarding anticorruption translates well into commercial practice.<sup>219</sup>

When the Chinese authorities began investigating GSK's China operations, GSK China issued a statement regarding the ongoing investigation on July 15, 2013, saying:

We are deeply concerned and disappointed by these serious allegations of fraudulent behavior and ethical misconduct by certain individuals at the company and third-party agencies. Such behavior would be a clear breach of GSK's systems, governance procedures, values and standards. GSK has zero tolerance for any behavior of this nature. GSK shares the desire of the Chinese authorities to root out corruption. These allegations are shameful and we regret this has occurred.<sup>220</sup>

This statement demonstrates that GSK has specific systems, governance procedures, values, and standards designed to address the bribery scandal and other corrupt practices.

The Chinese government spokesperson alleged in September 2013 that the wrongdoing was organized by GSK China.<sup>221</sup> Furthermore, he

<sup>214.</sup> Paolo Mauro, Corruption and Growth, 110 Q. J. ECON. 681, 683 (1995).

<sup>215.</sup> See Bryan W. Husted, Honor Among Thieves: A Transaction-Cost Interpretation of Corruption in Third World Countries, 4 Bus. Ethics Q. 17, 21 (1994).

<sup>216.</sup> Cf. Daphne Athanasouli et al., Corruption and Firm Performance: Evidence from the Greek Firms, 5 INT'L J. ECON. SCI. & APPLIED RES, 43, 44 (2012).

<sup>217.</sup> Greg Hills et al., *Anti-corruption as Strategic CSR: A Call to Action for Corporations*, FSG SOC. IMPACT ADVISORS 2, 24 (2009), http://www.karmayog.org/relateddocumentsoncsr/upload/26538/Anti\_Corruption\_as\_Strategic\_CSR.pdf.

<sup>218.</sup> See generally Jennifer L. McCoy, The Emergence of a Global Anti-Corruption Norm, 38 INT'L. POL. 65 (2001) (explaining the creation of global anticorruption accomplished through awareness raising, institutionalization of legal and policy instruments, and overall global adoption).

<sup>220.</sup> GSK Statement Regarding Ongoing Investigation by Chinese Authorities, GLAXO SMITHKLINE-CHINA (July 15, 2013), http://www.gsk-china.com/asp/News/client/newconten/715201312 0142.htm.

<sup>221.</sup> Campbell, supra note 33.

claimed "the company passed the buck to the salesforce, but the police investigation has found that GSK China went through the motions in internal auditing so as not to discover these violations."<sup>222</sup> GSK has continuously stated that the head office of GSK had no prior knowledge about the wrongdoing of the Chinese salesforce while fully cooperating with Chinese authorities.<sup>223</sup>

Despite these claims, five senior executives of GSK were found to be in violation of the anticorruption laws.<sup>224</sup> In order to prove criminal and civil liability of the top executives in GSK, the Chinese authorities had to prove breach of fiduciary duties.<sup>225</sup> This required proof that the executives had knowledge of the bribery or should have reasonably known about it.<sup>226</sup>

Considering GSK's long-standing position against corruption, the question becomes why is it embroiled in a bribery scandal.<sup>227</sup> There must have been a problem with the execution of corporate governance policies and translation of formal anticorruption policies and norms into practical reality. After meeting with the officials of the Chinese Ministry of Public Security, Abbas Hussain, the GSK President International—Europe, Japan, Emerging Markets, and Asia Pacific, said on July 22, 2013, "certain senior executives of GSK China, who know our systems well, appear to have acted outside of our processes and controls which breaches Chinese law. We have zero tolerance for any behavior of this nature."<sup>228</sup>

Hussain's comment worked to insulate GSK's head office from the accusation of the corporate wrongdoing at the level of corporate values and policies, and to separate the wrongdoings of senior executives from GSK China and the global GSK group. GSK Chief Executive, Sir Andrew Witty, also admitted that the four executives appeared to have worked around the corporate control processes to commit the alleged crimes.<sup>229</sup> No charges have been filed against the global GSK groups to date.

Yet, there were apparently at least two serious failures of the corporate governance policies of GSK. First, certain senior executives of GSK China have breached Chinese law. Five senior executives of GSK were found to have actively organized, pushed forward, and

<sup>222.</sup> Id.

<sup>223.</sup> Lianna Brinded, *GlaxoSmithKline Shaves Bonuses on China Bribery Scandal*, INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS TIMES (Feb. 28, 2014, 7:19 AM), http://www.ibtimes.co.uk/glaxosmithklineshaves-bonuses-china-bribery-scandal-1438271.

<sup>224.</sup> See GSK China Hit with Record Fine, Says Sorry, supra note 172.

<sup>225.</sup> See Rogers et al., supra note 170, at 16.

<sup>26.</sup> Id.

<sup>227.</sup> See Living Our Values: The GSK Anti-Bribery and Corruption (ABAC) Programme, GLAXOSMITHKLINE (2010), http://www.gsk.com/media/280711/anti-bribery-corruption.pdf.

<sup>228.</sup> GSK Response to China Investigation, GLAXOSMITHKLINE-CHINA (July 22, 2013, 10:42 AM), http://www.gsk-china.com/asp/News/client/newconten/7222013104231.htm.

<sup>229.</sup> Campbell, supra note 33.

implemented a sales force involved with bribery.<sup>230</sup> Second, the internal systems of GSK were unable to prevent GSK employees from engaging in corrupt behaviors. Even before the GSK conviction for commercial bribery, GSK's stated policies were to promote corporate social responsibility and ensure accountability.<sup>231</sup> For instance, its mission is "to improve the quality of human life by enabling people to do more, feel better, [and] live longer," and it claimed, "we have a robust structure in place to ensure the approach we take on corporate responsibility is appropriate. Our board-level Corporate Responsibility Committee ("CRC") has overall oversight and sits within a clear organizational structure that ensures accountability."<sup>232</sup>

If the corporate governance policies of GSK had functioned effectively, no crimes should have been committed. It is easy to adopt corporate governance provisions and anticorruption rules. It is also easy to organize a committee to address the issue of corruption within a corporation. What is difficult—and even more important—is ensuring that such measures are effective. GSK's failure lies not in the lack of corporate social responsibility measures, but instead in the functional (in)effectiveness of these governance norms.

GSK acknowledged this failure following the criminal verdict. The company posted an apology on its Chinese website, saying that it "fully accepts the facts and evidence of the investigation, and the verdict of the Chinese judicial authorities." GSK "sincerely apologizes to the Chinese patients, doctors and hospitals, and to the Chinese Government and the Chinese people" and "deeply regrets the damage caused."233 It also apologized for harm caused by its illegal private investigation. The apology described the events as a clear breach of GSK's governance and "wholly contrary to the values and standards we expect from our employees."234

As the GSK scandal illustrates, it is insufficient, or even meaningless, to discuss the institutional innovations of corporate governance on the books without noting the significance of exploring mechanisms for converting good norms into formal and effective practices. Of course, formalization of corporate governance does not mean that all corporate rules are compatible with anticorruption policies. To the contrary, some of GSK's rules, especially the evaluation and incentive system for professional sales representatives, may have been key factors in triggering the bribery scandal.<sup>235</sup>

<sup>230.</sup> See GSK China Hit with Record Fine, Says Sorry, supra note 172.

<sup>231.</sup> Responsibility, GLAXOSMITHKLINE (2015), http://www.gsk.com/en-gb/responsibility/.

<sup>232.</sup> Id.

<sup>233.</sup> Bradsher & Buckley, supra note 20.

<sup>234.</sup> See GSK China Hit with Record Fine, Says Sorry, supra note 172.

<sup>235.</sup> José Sierra, Will GSK's Incentive Compensation and Speaker Program Initiatives Set the Tone for 2014?, PHARMARISC (Jan. 8, 2014), http://pharmarisc.com/2014/01/will-gsks-incentive-compensation-and-speaker-program-initiatives-set-the-tone-for-2014/.

#### No. 1] BUSINESS IN A CONNECTED SOCIETY

# VI. THE NEED FOR REFORM OF THE EVALUATION AND INCENTIVE SYSTEM FOR PROFESSIONAL SALES REPRESENTATIVES

GSK's evaluation and incentive systems for professional sales representatives <sup>236</sup> may help explain why senior executives and professional sales representatives of GSK were engaging in corrupt practices. Under the traditional compensation system of GSK, bonuses for sales professionals were based on individual achievement of sales targets.<sup>237</sup> Simply put, the more drugs sold, the more bonuses received.

On its face, this compensation system sounds reasonable and fair. Yet, professional sales representatives may have been incentivized to offer bribes to gain a competitive advantage. When GSK evaluated its senior executives and professional sales representatives based only on sales, employees may have considered offering bribes, regardless of illegality. Great bonuses arising from bribery may have been expected, while legal prosecution was uncertain. From the perspective of GSK employees, bribery may have been a great game of small input and large return. Motivated by the maximization of profits for GSK, and the maximization of compensation for the professional sales representatives, it may have been very difficult for the management of GSK to stop or even slow down perceived profitable bribery practices.

In fact, the sales target-oriented compensation policy may have been the primary cause, not just of bribes to doctors, but also of fraud to consumers. It is likely not a coincidence that GSK was required to execute a five-year Corporate Integrity Agreement ("CIA") with the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Office of Inspector General ("HHS-OIG") in 2012 in the United States. <sup>238</sup> The plea agreement and the CIA included novel provisions requiring GSK to implement and maintain major changes to the way it does business. These changes include changing the way its sales force is compensated to remove compensation based on sales goals for territories, one of the driving forces behind much of the conduct at issue. <sup>239</sup> Under the CIA, GSK is required to change its executive compensation program to permit the company to recoup annual bonuses and long-term incentives from covered executives if they, or their subordinates, engage in significant misconduct. <sup>240</sup> GSK may recoup monies from executives who are current

91

<sup>236.</sup> GSK China to Implement New Compensation Programme for Sales Force in 2014, GLAXOSMITHKLINE-CHINA (Dec. 18, 2013), http://www.gsk-china.com/asp/News/client/newconten/12182013125800.htm.

<sup>237.</sup> Id.

<sup>238.</sup> See generally Office of Inspector Gen., U.S. Dep't of Health & Human Servs., Corporate Integrity Agreement Between the Office of Inspector General of the Dep't of Health and Human Services and GlaxoSmithKline LLC, (2012) [hereinafter GSK Corporate Integrity Agreement], available at http://www.justice.gov/opa/documents/gsk/hhsoig-corp-integrity-agreement.pdf.

<sup>239.</sup> *Id.* at 44–45.

<sup>240.</sup> Id. at 18.

employees and those who have left the company.<sup>241</sup> Among other things, the CIA also requires GSK to implement and maintain transparency in its research practices and publication policies and to follow specified policies in its contracts with various healthcare payers.<sup>242</sup> To some extent, the CIA paved the way for GSK to change its traditional compensation system in China in 2014.

# A. Fundamental Change in Compensation: From Unitary Sales Target Orientation to Diversified Evaluation Indicators

After recognizing the relationship between its sales target-oriented evaluation and incentive system and the ever increasing amount of bribery, GSK began to implement fundamental changes to its evaluation and incentive system, beginning in January 2014.<sup>243</sup> Under the new system, employees who interact with customers "will be evaluated according to their technical knowledge, quality of service, and adherence to the company values of transparency, integrity, respect, and patient-focus." <sup>244</sup> This new system affects all sales employees, including representatives and managers, who have contact with prescribing healthcare professionals.<sup>245</sup> In addition to its implementation in China, the new system will be implemented in other markets around the world. GSK promised that the new system would be fully implemented in all of the countries in which it operates by early 2015.<sup>246</sup>

Regarding the rationale behind the change of the compensation system, Hervé Gisserot, Senior Vice President and General Manager of GSK Pharmaceuticals and Vaccines China, explained:

The new sales compensation system will enable us to put patients' needs at the heart of everything that we do. Our medical representatives are the gateway to our customers and it is important we inspire, coach and ultimately reward people working within the organization to focus on behaviors, which reflect our values. I am confident that this industry-leading initiative will help GSK to continue to build a sustainable business in China and make a strong contribution to the development of the Chinese healthcare system.<sup>247</sup>

Further, according to Gisserot, GSK has demonstrated its determination to pursue a patient-friendly business model—to find a means of linking the company's core values and its sustainable development, the welfare of the patients, and the incentives of its medical representatives.<sup>248</sup> The

<sup>241.</sup> Id. at 32.

<sup>242.</sup> Press Release, supra note 10.

<sup>243.</sup> GSK China to Implement New Compensation Programme for Sales Force in 2014, supra note 236.

<sup>244.</sup> Id.

<sup>245.</sup> Id.

<sup>246.</sup> Id.

<sup>247.</sup> Id.

<sup>248.</sup> Id.

rationale behind the new system should be welcomed by both medical representatives and patients alike.

Gisserot's comments suggest the three failures of corporate governance described above: a sole reliance on a maximization of profits-oriented philosophy, a misunderstanding of Chinese contemporary culture, and the failure of corporate governance policies to combat corruption.<sup>249</sup> Identifying the corporate governance failures, however, is only the first step toward stakeholder-friendly governance. The effectiveness of the new compensation system remains to be tested by all stakeholders.

# B. Shortcomings of the New Compensation System

The criminal investigation of GSK appears to have prompted the inintroduction of its new compensation system. Under pressure from the public and the media, GSK changed the visible rules directly contributing to corrupt practices. In addition to developing the strategy with regard to public relations, GSK has weighed the potential benefits and costs assoassociated with the new compensation system.<sup>250</sup>

As announced by GSK on December 18, 2013, these adjustments to the compensation system further expand on the company's "Patient First" program initially introduced in the United States in 2011. GSK alalleges that the program has improved customer service and corresponded with economic growth for the company. This implies that GSK sees positive effects from the CIA. The effects are in the best interests of GSK and the public, especially the patients. Furthermore, it seems that the new compensation system successfully implemented in the United States could be applicable to the Chinese market. SSK's new compensation system in China, however, has several shortcomings, compared with the promises made in its U.S. CIA.

First, the new compensation system introduced in China is less detailed than its CIA Patient First program. Pursuant to the CIA:

GSK agrees that it will not provide a financial reward (through compensation, including incentive compensation or otherwise) or discipline (through tangible employment action) to its prescribing-customer-facing field sales professionals (pharmaceutical sales reprepresentatives) or their direct managers based upon the volume of GSK product sales within an employee's own territory or the manmanager's district.<sup>253</sup>

The program establishes evaluations for employees based on a number of factors, including their commercial knowledge, customer service, and

<sup>249.</sup> Id.

<sup>250.</sup> Id.

<sup>251.</sup> Id

<sup>252.</sup> See GSK CORPORATE INTEGRITY AGREEMENT, supra note 238, at 32.

<sup>253.</sup> *Id*.

technical understanding of the company's products.<sup>254</sup> The company will

continue the program for the duration of the CIA or longer. GSK may also opt into a different program, so long as the two programs are essenessentially the same.<sup>255</sup> The restrictions on these tangible employment decisions are set forth in its Use of Territory/Individual Sales Data policy.<sup>256</sup> In contrast, the information released on GSK's website about the new compensation system in China is very general and ambiguous.<sup>257</sup> This may be because GSK considers the details of the new compensation system in China confidential, but transparency of the new compensation system could win the trust of the public.

Second, there is no financial recoupment program in China. In the CIA, GSK promised to establish and maintain, throughout the term of the CIA, a financial recoupment program that puts at risk of forfeiture and recoupment an amount equivalent to up to three years of annual performance pay (i.e., annual bonuses, plus long-term incentives) for an executive who is discovered to have been involved in any significant misconduct.<sup>258</sup> This financial recoupment program "[applies] to . . . executives who are either current GSK employees or who [were] former GSK employees."259 GSK also committed "to maintaining an Executive Financial Recoupment Program . . . for at least the duration of the CIA[,] absent agreement otherwise."260 Both the incentive and the restraint mechanisms are essential to preventing excessive emphasis on sales targets. Although GSK promised a new compensation system in China, it has not yet announced an Executive Financial Recoupment Program in China.

Third, there is no independent review mechanism in China from a third-party equivalent to the Independent Review Organization ("IRO") as defined in the CIA. In the CIA, "[w]ithin 120 days after the Effective Date, GSK [is required] to engage an entity (or entities), such as an accounting, auditing, or consulting firm . . . , to perform reviews to assist GSK in assessing and evaluating its . . . . systems, processes, policies, procedures, and practices."261 Without independent verification and oversight, it would be difficult to convince the public of the effectiveness of the new Chinese compensation program. Theoretically speaking, GSK would benefit from the independent review mechanism in terms of a better corporate image and long-term development.

Fourth, there is no mechanism for the Chinese government to punish GSK for failure to comply with its promises. In the United States, the 2012 CIA has a section on dealing with "breach and default."262 GSK

<sup>254.</sup> Id.

<sup>255.</sup> Id.

<sup>256.</sup> Id.

See GSK China to Implement New Compensation Programme for Sales Force in 2014, supra note 236.

<sup>258.</sup> GSK CORPORATE INTEGRITY AGREEMENT, supra note 238, at 1.

<sup>259.</sup> Id. at 32.

Id. at 33.

<sup>261</sup> Id at 26

<sup>262.</sup> See id. at 60-68.

agreed that failure to comply with the obligations to establish and implement the employee and executive incentive compensation and recoupment may lead to the imposition of stipulated penalty of \$2,500 for each day.<sup>263</sup> With no penalty for failure to follow the new compensation system in China, it becomes critical for GSK to implement internal controls to monitor compliance. The public, however, might not trust the effectiveness of the internal controls of GSK, as they have witnessed the failure of the internal controls in the bribery scandal in 2013.

Fifth, no comprehensive corporate integrity obligation was imposed on GSK in the new compensation system in China. In the 2012 CIA, GSK promised to establish and maintain a compliance program in the United States, and especially to add compliance responsibilities for certain GSK employees and the board of directors. <sup>264</sup> This program includes appointing a Compliance Officer, a Compliance Committee, and assigning the Board compliance obligations. <sup>265</sup> The Board is further required to adopt a written Code of Conduct and written policies and procedures relating to the training of management and relevant employees. <sup>266</sup>

Despite the shortcomings of the new compensation system of GSK China, many pharmaceutical MNCs are eager to know its fate. Although other pharmaceuticals have not yet followed GSK's new compensation model, some MNCs may be willing to follow it to avoid regulatory risks. On the other hand, some MNCs may be reluctant to follow GSK's new model before the model proves successful.<sup>267</sup>

#### VII. SUGGESTED CORPORATE GOVERNANCE REFORMS

This Part offers our proposals for governance reforms, in an attempt to reduce the incentives for corporate executives to engage in acts of bribery when doing business in China. Our proposals include a reorientation of the corporate purpose from profit maximization to profit optimization, the need to understand Chinese culture and society, and the execution of corporate governance practices that directly address corruption.

# A. Reorientation of Corporate Purpose to Optimization of Profits

An ancient Chinese proverb deeply describes the profit-driven world: "Jostling and joyous, the whole world comes after profit; racing and rioting, after profit the whole world goes." Maximization of profits

<sup>263.</sup> See id. at 32.

<sup>264.</sup> See id. at 3-5.

<sup>265.</sup> Id.

<sup>266.</sup> See id. at 5-25.

<sup>267.</sup> He Fenglun & Zhong Qun, Zhong Guo Yi Yao Hang Ye Fan Shang Ye Hui Lu Zheng Zai Dai Lai Bian Hua [The Efforts of Fighting Against the Commercial Bribery in Chinese Medical Industry Are Bring Out Changes], XINHUA (Apr. 11, 2014) (China), http://news.xinhuanet.com/fortune/2014-04/11/c\_1110208587.htm.

<sup>268.</sup> Sima Qian & Shi Ji, SHI JI, SHI HUO ZHI LIE ZHUAN [Biographies of Merchants].

has been one of the most powerful driving forces for entrepreneurship, innovation, and progress in the human history, especially in the corporate age.<sup>269</sup> Maximization of profits, however, may incentivize some corporations, desperate for shareholder profits, to ignore the interests of other stakeholders.<sup>270</sup>

The tricky issue is that, although many corporate citizens condemn corrupt practices as unethical and illegal, and business leaders declare zero tolerance for corrupt practices in their corporate policies,<sup>271</sup> such promises and policies tend to be subordinate to the purpose of maximizing profits for the corporation and its shareholders.<sup>272</sup> The reason some anticorruption policies fail is that corruption is sometimes considered a price the corporation has to pay in certain circumstances.<sup>273</sup> Yet, maximization of profits *per se* does not justify engaging in corrupt activities.

Because corporations are important members of society, it is imperative that they revise their mission of profit maximization by replacing "maximization" with "optimization." First, optimization of profits implies a broader inclusion of stakeholders. Traditionally, the shareholder has been considered the primary corporate stakeholder.<sup>274</sup> The purpose of the modern corporation, however, should be to create wealth for its shareholders and other stakeholders harmoniously, but not necessarily in the same ways. In addition to advancing investment returns for shareholders and compensating directors and executives, the creation and growth of the corporation should also benefit other stakeholders or constituencies.<sup>275</sup> Profit is not adequate to describe the purpose of a corporation, as the interests or stakes owned by the nonshareholder constituencies do not necessarily take the form of profit.<sup>276</sup> It is unnecessary to narrowly interpret corporate interest solely in terms of shareholder interest.<sup>277</sup>

Second, optimization of profits implies legal and ethical profit making. It demands that profits be acquired for various stakeholders—including shareholders, employees, consumers, creditors, competitors, suppliers, retailers, the community, future generations, the natural environment, and other stakeholders—in lawful, ethical, and respectful ways. In pursuit of this ideal balance of interests, we argue for compromise, tolerance, and inclusiveness. Corruption has seriously eroded cooperation among corporations and their stakeholders, infringed upon the rights of consumers and competitors, and thereby destroyed the confidence of both the business community and the public in fair competition

<sup>269.</sup> See Kang, supra note 53, at 5.

<sup>270.</sup> Hussain, *supra* note 193, at 318.

<sup>271.</sup> Hills et al., *supra* note 217, at 24.

<sup>272.</sup> See Silver-Greenberg & Protess, supra note 7.

<sup>273.</sup> Id.

<sup>274.</sup> Kang, supra note 53, at 13.

<sup>275.</sup> Id. at 14.

<sup>276.</sup> *Id.* at 15–16.

<sup>277.</sup> Id.

in a free market.<sup>278</sup> Optimization of profits does not prohibit corporations from being profitable. Being profitable is not the problem. The problem arises when firms seek to profit in an illegal or unethical way.

Third, optimization of profits implies the sustainable development of the corporation. Indeed, there are tensions between short-term interests and long-term interests, between the shareholder's interest and non-shareholder constituencies' interests, between the visible financial interest and invisible public reputation, between short-term tactics and long-term strategy, and between short-term revenue generation and long-term competitiveness.<sup>279</sup> Optimization of profits, however, guides the corporation with a long-term vision of sustainable development and helps to pave the way to sustainable business success. MNCs need to consider their long-term interests, public reputations, long-term strategies, long-term competitiveness, and the core interests of their nonshareholder constituencies when optimizing profits.

It is a longstanding maxim in corporate law that business corporations are "organized and carried on primarily for the profit of [their] stockholders" and that, accordingly, corporate board members and officers owe a fiduciary duty to maximize shareholder profits. Yet such a duty, if it exists at all, 282 can easily coexist with profit optimization. Because a firm's market reputation plays a considerable role in determining its valuation and profits, it will almost always be in the firm's best (long-term profit maximizing) interest to pay close attention to the stakeholder concerns noted above. This is particularly true of MNCs. As our GSK case study exemplifies, when such companies fail to live up to stakeholder standards, the news is unlikely to fall on deaf ears. Hence, profit optimization stands not only to promote a broader array of stakeholder interests, but also to prevent corporate fiduciaries from sacrificing their firms' long-term financial welfare in the pursuit of short-term profits.

# B. The Need for Understanding Chinese Culture

Firms need to bear in mind both China's past and present and draw conclusions from both China's accomplishments and the Chinese way of thinking. One can hardly understand China well without a proper understanding of its history, culture, the Chinese peoples' way of thinking, and the profound changes taking place in China today.<sup>284</sup> It would be unwise

<sup>278.</sup> *Id.* at 8. *See generally* Kenny, *supra* note 77 (discussing the consequences of corruption).

<sup>279.</sup> See Kang, supra note 53, at 13–21.

<sup>280.</sup> Dodge v. Ford Motor Co., 170 N.W. 668, 684 (Mich. 1919).

<sup>281.</sup> Robert Ashford, Binary Economics, Fiduciary Duties, and Corporate Social Responsibility: Comprehending Corporate Wealth Maximization and Distribution for Stockholders, Stakeholders, and Society, 76 Tul. L. Rev. 1531, 1558 (2002).

<sup>282.</sup> See id. (discussing reasons to doubt the existence of a specific fiduciary duty to maximize profits).

<sup>283.</sup> See Yockey, supra note 162, at 361.

<sup>284.</sup> Jinping, supra note 46.

for MNCs operating in China to follow the so-called "unspoken rules" of corruption. The better choice is for them to conduct their business in lawful and ethical ways, and to adopt a policy of zero tolerance for corruption, despite heavy pressure from the competition. By doing so, MNCs will lower their own transaction costs in China, as well as spur more demand for their products. In promoting these ends, the most important step is to gain a deeper understanding of Chinese culture and rule of law.

# C. Corporate Governance Practices Must Address Corruption

As mentioned above, many MNCs, including GSK, have anticorruption policies.<sup>287</sup> Unfortunately, the policies and the norms of good corporate governance have not always translated into practice. Thus, the key issue is how to implement good corporate governance policies regarding anticorruption. To achieve this, three factors are equally important: good people, good norms, and good culture. Furthermore, we suggest that MNCs implement the following practices.

First, a consumer friendly compensation system should be implemented by MNCs to better reflect the competence and performance of the marketing employees or representatives based on consumer primacy. Although it was encouraging for GSK to announce a new compensation system in late 2013, as noted above, its system still has shortcomings and weaknesses. We have several suggestions for reform for GSK and other MNCs facing the same challenges.

The most important suggestion is to hear the opinions of the consumers, including the patients. It is unlikely that a compensation system will be truly consumer friendly if the system has not been heard, debated, and discussed by the public consumers. Another important requirement of a revised compensation system is that it must accommodate the core interest of the employees or representatives. Without the support of the marketing employees or representatives, it would be unlikely that a consumer friendly compensation system would succeed. In addition, a consumer friendly compensation system should be made transparent in order to enable and facilitate public supervision. Finally, both corporate employees and independent sales representatives or agents should be evaluated by the consumer friendly compensation system. To control the legal risk of corruption, some MNCs might attempt to outsource marketing to independent agents, representatives, or intermediaries, reasoning

<sup>285.</sup> David W. Simon & Robert H. Iseman, *Domestic Anti-Bribery Enforcement May Be on the Rise in China: Multinationals Must Focus on Anti-Corruption Compliance*, FOLEY & LARDNER LLP (May 1, 2013), http://www.foley.com/spring-2013-eye-on-china-newsletter-04-29-2013/.

<sup>286.</sup> Id.

<sup>287.</sup> Hills et al., supra note 217, at 24.

<sup>288.</sup> See id

<sup>289.</sup> GSK China to Implement New Compensation Programme for Sales Force in 2014, supra note 236.

that this strategy may insulate the firm from corruption. We argue that MNCs should also be held accountable for the selection and oversight of the independent intermediaries. Independent intermediaries must also be prohibited from engaging in bribery.

Second, internal punishments, including financial recoupment, should be adopted to improve governance on anticorruption. In the 2012 U.S. CIA, GSK promised to "establish and maintain . . . financial recoupment program that puts at risk of forfeiture and recoupment an amount equivalent to up to [three] years of annual performance pay . . . for an executive who is discovered to have been involved in any significant misconduct." GSK, however, has not announced a similar program in China. A recoupment program could help deter and prevent executives of MNCs from engaging in misconduct, including consumer fraud and bribery. In addition to the executives, the directors and marketing employees should be subject to the recoupment program. To increase the cost of corruption, firms could seek to impose penalties in the case of intentional misconduct or gross negligence resulting in corruption.

Third, an independent, third-party review mechanism should be established to fight against corruption. An MNC's internal review of the effectiveness of anticorruption practices is unreliable from the perspective of the public, including the competitors and the consumers. Furthermore, if the independent review organization is selected and paid by the corporation, there is a possibility that the corporation will in effect control the review organization. Some review organizations might thus close their eyes to the ongoing corrupt practices. Therefore, corporations should not nominate the members of the organizations. Instead, we recommend that the independent review organizations be selected by a government agency based on open, fair, transparent, and competitive procurement procedures. The public should be entitled to inspect the review documents and to raise questions before the independent review organizations, either individually or at a hearing procedure organized by the government agency. The review organization could be funded through a fee imposed upon corporations.

Fourth, penalties should be imposed on corrupt practices of MNCs. Civil liabilities, administrative liabilities, and criminal liabilities are different, but interconnected. In the case of massive infringement of consumer rights, the China Consumers' Association or the consumers associations at the provincial level may take up public interest lawsuits on behalf of consumers.<sup>291</sup> In the case of administrative or criminal penalties imposed on a wrongdoing corporation, the fine should not exclude a consumer's claim to damages. In addition to consumer litigation, the competitors should be permitted to file lawsuits against a wrongdoing

<sup>290.</sup> GSK CORPORATE INTEGRITY AGREEMENT, supra note 238, at 32.

<sup>291.</sup> Law of the P.R.C. on Protection of Consumer Rights and Interests (promulgated by the Standing Comm. of the Eighth Nat'l People's Cong., Oct. 31, 1993, effective Jan. 1, 1994), ch. V art. 32(6) (China), available at http://www.wipo.int/edocs/lexdocs/laws/en/cn/cn174en.pdf.

corporation for compensation and injunctions. In China, penalties imposed on those offering bribes are usually less harsh than those imposed on bribe recipients, in order to encourage the bribing firms to report to the government or the judicial system.<sup>292</sup> Both sides of the transaction, however, benefit from bribes. As a result, MNCs, as the providers and beneficiaries of bribes, are not sufficiently motivated to act as whistleblowers with respect to corrupt practices. The administrative penalties prescribed by Article 22 of the Unfair Competition Law of 1993 are minimal with regard to briberies not serious enough to amount to crimes; the regulator may fine from 10,000 yuan (equivalent to \$1,600) up to 200,000 yuan (equivalent to \$32,000) depending on the circumstances and the illegal income.<sup>293</sup> Administrative penalties need to be made harsher in order to deter and punish corporate corruption. Although plea bargaining is unfamiliar to Chinese law, it is highly recommended that China introduce it to decrease the costs of investigation and to improve the efficiency of legal enforcement, especially given the limited resources of regulators and the judicial system.

Fifth, anticorruption practices should be added to the corporate governance structures of MNCs. Based on the successful experience of the corporate integrity obligation imposed by the 2012 CIA, corporations should be encouraged to establish an anticorruption committee under the board of directors, and to create the position of Chief Anticorruption Officer ("CAO") at the management level. The board of directors should be responsible for the CAO's nomination and removal and the CAO should report on a timely basis. The board of directors should have a duty to monitor and evaluate whether corruption exists within the corporation. A practical code of conduct of anticorruption should be learned and followed by the employees, especially those engaged in marketing. Needless to say, as MNCs and their directors, executives, and employees are sensitive to negative impacts on their reputations, corruption records of directors, executives, and employees should be made available to the public.<sup>294</sup>

In keeping with this approach, it would be helpful for China and other nations hosting MNCs to adopt sentencing policies similar to those found in the U.S. Federal Organizational Sentencing Guidelines

<sup>292.</sup> Under Article 163 of the Chinese Criminal Law, the bribery recipients in a corporation shall be sentenced to a maximum of five years of imprisonment for a bribery crime of a significant amount. Criminal Law of the P.R.C., *supra* note 56, at § 3. For a bribery crime of a large amount, the recipient can be sentenced between five and twenty years of imprisonment. *Id.* Under Article 164 of Chinese Criminal Law, however, the bribery providers in the corporations shall be sentenced to the maximum of three years of imprisonment for a bribery crime of a significant amount, or sentenced to three to ten years of imprisonment for the bribery crime of a large amount. *Id.* Furthermore, the bribery providers, who have voluntarily confessed the bribery prior to the initiation of prosecution procedure, shall be entitled to mitigated punishment or exemption from punishment. *Id.* 

<sup>293.</sup> Law of P.R.C. Against Unfair Competition, art. 22, supra note 56.

<sup>294.</sup> On March 1, 2013, the State Authority of Industry and Commerce launched a website with the credit information of every firm in China. STATE ADMIN. FOR INDUS. AND COMMERCE, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA, http://gsxt.saic.gov.cn (last visited Sept. 28, 2015).

101

#### No. 1] BUSINESS IN A CONNECTED SOCIETY

("OSGs")<sup>295</sup> and in the U.S. prosecutorial practice of encouraging organizational cooperation through deferred prosecution agreements ("DPAs")<sup>296</sup> and nonprosecution agreements ("NPAs").<sup>297</sup> The OSGs allow leniency if the organization reports an offense to the government, cooperates fully in the investigation, and demonstrates its recognition and affirmative acceptance of responsibility.<sup>298</sup>

Similarly, by offering NPAs and DPAs, prosecutors create serious incentives for corporate cooperation with criminal investigations. Based on a recent Government Accountability Office report, NPAs and DPAs have significantly reduced the use of court resources in dealing with FCPA violations.<sup>299</sup> Moreover, the NPA/DPA process typically allows the DOJ to engage in more active communication with FCPA violators, with favorable settlements often being dependent on the MNC's adoption of company-specific policies for combatting corruption.<sup>300</sup> Such agreements frequently include "monitorship provisions," which require companies to hire an independent corporate monitor to oversee their compliance efforts throughout the period of the agreement.<sup>301</sup> This has been particularly common in cases involving FCPA violations.<sup>302</sup> Companies have also been required to employ independent ethics and compliance consultants to examine their ethics and compliance programs.<sup>303</sup> Moreover, in addition to stiff fines,<sup>304</sup> NPAs and DPAs typically include noncontradiction clauses, which prevent companies from subsequently denying any admissions of guilt made in an agreement.<sup>305</sup> In return for paying penalties and complying with the agreements' other provisions, companies typically avoid indictment and conviction, along with suspension and debarment from government contracts, a considerable carrot for most MNCs.<sup>306</sup>

By relying on strategies, countries hosting MNCs will likely establish an atmosphere much more conducive to cooperative policing and prevention of corporate corruption. In this environment, MNCs would

<sup>295.</sup> U.S. Sentencing Guidelines Manual § 8C2.5(g) (2011).

<sup>296.</sup> Cindy A. Schipani, *The Future of the Attorney-Client Privilege in Corporate Criminal Investigations*, 34 DEL. J. CORP. L. 921, 925 n.12 (2009).

<sup>297.</sup> Id.

<sup>298.</sup> Id.

<sup>299.</sup> U.S. GOV'T ACCOUNTABILITY OFFICE, CORPORATE CRIME: DOJ HAS TAKEN STEPS TO BETTER TRACK ITS USE OF DEFERRED AND NON-PROSECUTION, GAO REPORT TO CONGRESSIONAL REQUESTERS (2009), available at http://www.gao.gov/new.items/d10110.pdf.

<sup>300.</sup> Thomas R. Fox, *DPAs and NPAs – Powerful Tools in the Fight Against Corruption*, FCPA COMPLIANCE & ETHICS BLOG (Dec. 8, 2014), https://tfoxlaw.wordpress.com/tag/dpa/.

<sup>301. 2013</sup> Year-End Update on Corporate Non-Prosecution Agreements (NPAs) and Deferred Prosecution Agreements (DPAs), GIBSON DUNN, 4–5 (Jan. 7, 2014), http://www.gibsondunn.com/publications/Documents/2013-Year-End-Update-Corporate-Non-Prosecution-Agreements-and-Deferred-Prosecution-Agreements.pdf.

<sup>302.</sup> Id.

<sup>303.</sup> See id. at 5.

<sup>304.</sup> See id. at 13 (highlighting a 2014 DPA in which JPMorgan Chase agreed to forfeit \$1.7 billion "to resolve Bank Secrecy Act violations in connection with the Bernard L. Madoff Ponzi scheme").

<sup>305.</sup> *Id.* at 9–10 (discussing the 2013 Standard Chartered Bank agreement with the DOJ, which the DOJ threatened to revoke upon discovering that a bank official had contradicted (in a press release) the admission in its agreement that the company had willfully violated U.S. sanctions laws).

<sup>306.</sup> Id. at 11-12.

#### UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS LAW REVIEW

[Vol. 2016

have even less reason to avoid seriously engage in corporate governance measures to prevent crimes like bribery.

#### VIII. CONCLUSION

GSK acquired significant competitive advantages by bribing hospitals, doctors, officials, and professional medical organizations. It is true, that in general, firms seek to be competitive and strong in the market. To this end, however, firms operating in a free market should focus on improving their competitiveness through, for example, diversity and quality of goods or services, marketing strategies, post-sale services, internal controls, and public relations.<sup>307</sup> Moreover, firms should acquire or consolidate competitive advantages in a fair, ethical, and lawful manner. Bribery, however, is none of these and is an illegal, inefficient, and immoral trade practice.<sup>308</sup>

Although it is impossible to eliminate all corruption in the global market, a policy of zero tolerance for corruption should acquire global consensus. In addition to tougher punishments of corrupt practices and a more liberal, transparent, and competitive market based on the rule of law in the host countries of MNCs, more efficient international coordination mechanisms based on shared information and mutual assistance should be expected. The reason for this is simple: corrupt practices are the common enemy in the global economy. MNCs should play the leading role in changing traditional corporate governance by reorienting corporate purpose, gaining a deeper understanding of host country culture, and adopting and employing serious corporate governance policies against corruption.

102

<sup>307.</sup> See Nichols, supra note 180, at 1318 (citing Mark B. Bader & Bill Shaw, Amendment of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, 15 N.Y.U. J. INT'L L. & POL. 627, 627–29 (1983)) (explaining that, in free market systems, economic transactions should be based exclusively on the price and quality of a given product or service).

<sup>308.</sup> See Dalton, supra note 75, at 585 ("[B]ribery distort[s] economic judgments, impede[s] the development of cross-border commercial relationships, debase[s] governmental and bureaucratic systems, and erode[s] the foundations of social structures."); Ibrahim F.I. Shihata, Corruption—A General Review With an Emphasis on the Role of the World Bank, 15 DICK. J. INT'L L. 451, 453 (1997).